# Lipophilic Oligopeptides for Chemo- and Enantioselective Acyl Transfer Reactions onto Alcohols

Christian E. Müller,<sup>#</sup> Daniela Zell,<sup>#</sup> Radim Hrdina, Raffael C. Wende, Lukas Wanka, Sören M. M. Schuler, and Peter R. Schre[in](#page-17-0)er\*

Institute of Organic Chemis[try](#page-17-0), Justus-Liebig University, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 58, 35392 Giessen, Germany

# **S** Supporting Information

[AB](#page-17-0)STRACT: [Inspired by](#page-17-0) the extraordinary selectivities of acylases, we envisioned the use of lipophilic oligopeptidic organocatalysts for the acylative kinetic resolution/desymmetrization of rac- and meso-cycloalkane-1,2-diols. Here we describe in a full account the discovery and development process from the theoretical concept to the final catalyst, including scope and limitations. Competition experiments with various alcohols and electrophiles show the full potential of the employed oligopeptides. Additionally, we utilized NMR and IR-spectroscopic methods as well as computations to shed



light on the factors responsible for the selectivity. The catalyst system can be readily modified to a multicatalyst by adding other catalytically active amino acids to the peptide backbone, enabling the stereoselective one-pot synthesis of complex molecules from simple starting materials.

# **ENTRODUCTION**

Stereoselective acylations of chiral or prochiral alcohols are common reactions both in nature and in chemistry. Enzymes can be used for the acylative resolution and desymmetrization of a broad range of secondary alcohols (e.g., cyclic meso-1,2 and 1,3-diols, 2,5-hexanediols, 1,4-cyclooctanediols, and acylation of natural products like vitamin C, alkaloids, and  $h$ / $h$  and  $h$   $\frac{1}{5}$  Though enzymatic acylations are highly chemo- and enantioselective, these approaches are often expensive and r[equ](#page-17-0)ire stringent reaction conditions and long reaction times, and typically just one enantiomer of the product can be obtained. Also, there is a variety of substrates that cannot be resolved effectively by enzymes (e.g., trans-cyclohexane-1,2-diol and primary alcohols).<sup>6</sup> Hence, in the past 20 years, various organic and organometallic catalysts (e.g., amidines,<sup>7</sup> vicinal diamines,<sup>8,9</sup> N-alk[yl](#page-17-0)imidazoles,<sup>10−14</sup> phosphines,<sup>15,16</sup> phosphinites,<sup>17,18</sup> Cu-complexes<sup>19–21</sup> and 4-aminopyridine [d](#page-17-0)erivatives $2^{22,23}$ ) $2^{4,25}$  $2^{4,25}$  $2^{4,25}$  were successfully [appl](#page-17-0)ied in kinetic [res](#page-17-0)olutions  $(KRs)_i^{26,27}$  $(KRs)_i^{26,27}$  $(KRs)_i^{26,27}$  desym[met](#page-17-0)r[iza](#page-17-0)tions,  $28$  and dynamic kinetic resolutions  $(DKR)^{29,30}$  of alcohols, amines, and thiols (Figure 1).

The application of oligopeptides [as ca](#page-17-0)talysts for enantioselective transformat[io](#page-1-0)ns has been neglected for a surprisingly long time, though many approaches were inspired by nature.31,32 Only at the end of the last century did chemists realize the capacity of oligopeptides as active catalysts due to their h[igh](#page-17-0) diversity and their well-established syntheses based on the coupling of readily available enantiopure amino acids.31−<sup>33</sup> Early prominent examples are the cyclic dipeptides (diketopiperazines) introduced by Inoue in 1981 for the enan[tio](#page-17-0)s[ele](#page-17-0)ctive hydrocyanation of benzaldehydes<sup>34−38</sup> and the homooligomers of Juliá and Colonna that proved to be highly efficient in epoxidation reactions.39−<sup>42</sup> Wennemers et al. discovered that short proline containing oligopeptides display significantly higher reactivity at co[mpa](#page-18-0)r[ab](#page-18-0)le enantioselectivities in aldol reactions compared to proline itself, which emphasizes the importance of the peptide backbone (Figure 2). $43-45$ 

Acyl transfer as part of nature's reaction portfolio is one of the most prominent examples for the use of sho[rt](#page-1-0) [non-na](#page-18-0)tural peptide catalysts for enantioselective transformations.<sup>24,25,31</sup> In 1998, Miller and co-workers introduced N-alkylimidazole containing peptides  $(\pi$ -methyl histidine derivatives [perform](#page-17-0)ed best) as acylation catalysts, which proved to be highly selective in various KRs and desymmetrizations.31,46−<sup>49</sup> Especially the KR of racemic trans-2-N-acetamidocyclohexanol using such peptides was intensively studied and led [to](#page-17-0) [the](#page-18-0) conclusion that a stable yet slightly flexible secondary structure based on intramolecular H-bonding is responsible for the high enantioselectivities (Figure 2).<sup>31,46,49</sub><sup>-51</sup> Several attempts were</sup> made to improve the selectivities of these peptides by modifying the motifs that [are](#page-1-0) [re](#page-17-0)[sponsib](#page-18-0)le for the formation of a secondary structure (see the peptides of Toniolo $52$  and  $\text{Qu}^{53}$ in Figure 2). Though nonpeptidic catalysts were successfully utilized in natural product synthesis of, e.g., [e](#page-18-0)pothilo[ne,](#page-18-0) (−)-baclo[fe](#page-1-0)n (with Fu's planar chiral ferrocenyl-DMAP derivative),<sup>54</sup> lobeline (with Birman's amidine-based catalysts),<sup>55</sup> and biotin (with Deng's modified cinchona alkaloid catalyst),<su[p](#page-18-0)>56</sup> peptidic approaches may offer chemoselective acylat[ion](#page-18-0)s of complex polyols bearing compounds (e.g.,

Received: June 2, 2013 Published: July 22, 2013

<span id="page-1-0"></span>





vancomycin<sup>57</sup> and erythromycin  $A^{58}$ ) and even carbohydrates.<sup>59</sup>

In 2008 [our](#page-18-0) group introduced a hi[gh](#page-18-0)ly efficient tetrapeptide cataly[st](#page-18-0) for the KR of trans-cycloalkane-1,2-diols via acyl transfer (Figure 2).<sup>25,60</sup> In contrast to the established peptide design concepts focusing on secondary structure formation, our approach utilizes a [h](#page-17-0)[igh](#page-18-0)ly lipophilic, structurally less flexible, non-natural adamantane γ-amino acid ( $^{A}$ Gly in our shorthand notation) in the center of the peptide. We envisioned that the more flexible amino acids at the N- and the C-terminus of the peptide would form a "dynamic pocket" like an active site in an enzyme and enable selective acyl transfer. The incorporation of additional lipophilic amino acids would allow the use of nonpolar organic solvents.

The KR of cyclic chiral trans-cycloalkane-1,2-diols via acyl transfer was chosen as the test reaction, because no synthetically useful approach for this class of substrates was reported. Additionally, natural products bearing vicinal diols are frequently found (e.g., in steroids, flavonoids, carbohydrates, and pharmaceuticals), and therefore a highly chemoselective peptide would be quite useful.<sup>61</sup> Monoacetylation of transcycloalkane-1,2-diols utilizing enzymes (Pseudomonas lipases) displayed low activities [as](#page-18-0) well as selectivities.<sup>6</sup> In the case of metal catalytic approaches for the KR of trans-cycloalkane-1,2 diols, only selective benzoyl transfers utilizi[ng](#page-17-0) 0.5 equiv of benzoyl chloride with lower selectivities  $(S = 14$  to 22) compared to our approach  $(S > 50)$  were reported by Onomura  $(2003)$ ,<sup>19</sup> Reiser  $(2005)$ ,<sup>20</sup> and Pfaltz  $(2006)$ .<sup>21,62</sup> This is one of the rare cases where a chemical method is significantly more efficien[t th](#page-17-0)an an enzyma[tic](#page-17-0) approach. Later t[he](#page-17-0) [sa](#page-18-0)me peptide or similar peptidic catalysts were successfully applied to selective single- and multicatalytic transformations.25,63−<sup>68</sup>

The identification of such highly enantioselective catalysts is still a formidable challenge and mostly re[lie](#page-17-0)[s on t](#page-18-0)rial and error or extensive screening experiments, because the chemical recognition processes of catalyst and substrate are usually hardly predictable.<sup>32</sup> Here we report a full investigation of our oligopeptide catalyst platform, including catalyst screenings, substrate scope, a[nd](#page-17-0) chemoselectivity, and present a structural mechanistic model for enantioselective acylations. Additionally, the peptide-catalyzed transfer of various other electrophiles will be described.

# ■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Catalyst Screening Using the Acylative KR of trans-Cyclohexane-1,2-diol as Test Reaction. A large variety of peptide catalysts was synthesized via automated solid phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) using a Fmoc-strategy; additionally, the chosen peptides were prepared in solution in larger quantities utilizing Boc-strategy. The crude peptides were initially characterized using ESI-MS; purified peptides were characterized by NMR, IR, ESI-MS, and ESI-HRMS (for detailed experimental procedures and analytical data, see Experimental Section and Supporting Information). All peptide catalysts were tested in the KR of racemic trans-cyclohexane-1,2-diol 1 [\(Scheme 1\)](#page-11-0) wit[h acetic anhydride. Addit](#page-17-0)ion of a base

Scheme 1. KR of trans-Cyclohexane-1,2-diol 1 as Test Reaction



is not required, because the generated acetic acid ( $pK_a = 4.74$ ) is comparably weak and in equilibrium with the methylimidazolium ion  $(pK_a = 7.3)$ ;<sup>69</sup> always a small amount of unprotonated catalyst is available.

We started our search for [a n](#page-18-0)ew highly lipophilic peptide by using Boc- $\pi$ -methyl histidine methylester 3 (Figure 3) as a catalyst to determine whether the acyl transfer onto 1 under our chosen reaction conditions (in toluene; no auxiliary [b](#page-3-0)ase) is generally possible.<sup>70</sup> The ability to perform the KR in a nonpolar solvent in the absence of base simplifies the purification of the [p](#page-18-0)roduct. The ee values and yields for our test reaction (Table 1) with 3 were low. Additionally, we tested 4 introduced by Snapper and Hoveyda in 2006 (4 showed excellent selectivitie[s](#page-4-0) in the silylation of racemic and meso-1,2 diols) in the acylative KR of rac-1, but only low selectivity was observed.<sup>71</sup> Our design concept focused on the <sup>A</sup>Gly moiety as a sterically demanding and structure-determining spacer that should le[ad](#page-18-0) to lipophilic peptides soluble in organic solvents. At first we synthesized various tri-, tetra-, and pentapeptides and placed the rigid  $^{A}$ Gly in the center of the molecule (Figure 3). We hoped separating the more flexible amino acids on the Cand N-terminus of the peptide would enable the formation [of](#page-3-0) a chiral environment (e.g., "a pocket", vide infra). Several different catalytically active histidine moieties were tested: Boc-L-histidine for peptide 5; Boc-L- $(\tau$ -Bzl)-histidine for 7, 8, and 9; and Boc-L- $(\pi$ -Me)-histidine for 6, 10, 11, and 12a.

The results for 3−12a as applied to the KR of rac-1 are summarized in Table 1. A comparison of the tripeptides 5−7 having the same peptidic backbone bearing a histidine  $(5)$ ,  $\pi$ methyl histidine (6) a[nd](#page-4-0) a *τ*-benzyl-histidine moiety (7) shows that Boc-L- $(\pi$ -Me)-histidine is the catalytically most active histidine derivative. Tripeptidic and tetrapeptidic structures produced high yields but moderate selectivities; pentapeptides showed only low selectivities and activities and were not investigated further. Tetrapeptide 12a was the most selective catalyst and was used as the reference structure for further modifications.

In contrast to enzymes, whose catalytically active sites often only exist in one stereoisomeric form, we readily synthesized ent-12b (all amino acids D-configured), and as expected, were able to acetylate S,S-1 with the opposite selectivity. Switching the positions of L-Val and  $\pi$ -Me-His (13) or L-Val and <sup>A</sup>Gly (17) lowered the selectivities for the KR of rac-1 compared to 12a. Hence, it is important that  $^{A}$ Gly is in direct neighborhood to the catalytically active His-moiety.

Next we focused on changing the configuration of Val, Boc-  $(\pi$ -Me)-His (14 and 15) and of both amino acids (16). The best er values were obtained for peptides containing homoconfigured Val and His (matched situation for 12a,b, ent-12b, and 16). The mismatched configuration of either Val or  $\pi$ -Me-His (14 and 15) decreases the selectivity for the KR of rac-1 dramatically (Figure 4).

The catalytic efficiency of 12a and the results presented in Table 2 encouraged furth[er](#page-4-0) variations. The use of Boc-L- $(\pi$ -Me)-His- $^{A}$ Gly-L-Cha-L-Phe-OMe (12b) as catalyst gave the highest ee in the KR of rac-1. Indeed, 12b is the most efficient ca[t](#page-4-0)alyst for the KR of trans-cycloalkane-1,2-diols to date.<sup>25,60</sup> Though having identified a capable catalyst for the selective acylation of rac-1 the role of the C-terminal amino acid [w](#page-17-0)[as](#page-18-0) investigated by using the Boc-L- $(\pi$ -Me)-His-<sup>A</sup>Gly-L-Leu-L-R motif (Table 3) in order to obtain mechanistic insights into the substrate recognition process by the catalyst.

Peptide ca[ta](#page-5-0)lyst 21 with C-terminal L-Cha proved to be the most selective, but generally all tested peptides showed high selectivities. This finding implies that the C-terminal amino acid in the tetrapeptide does not strongly affect the selectivity of the peptide, and other catalytically active amino acids may be attached and therefore offer their application in multicatalytic approaches.<sup>65,67,68</sup>

Substrate Scope for Peptide 12b-Catalyzed Acylations. In c[ontrast](#page-18-0) to the selective esterification of 1,2-diols such as 1 (the second OH-group is important as an internal H-bond donor), other biomimetic approaches mostly require monoacetylated 1,2-diols or monoacetylated 1,2-aminoalcohols to achieve high selectivities.10−12,14,46 In these cases the additional H-bond acceptor of the acyl group serves as a docking position. Therefore acylation cat[alyst](#page-17-0) [1](#page-17-0)[2b](#page-18-0) was tested in the KR of racemic 2, 22, and 23 (Figure 5). The latter substrate was successfully used by Miller et al.;<sup>31,46,48,50</sup> in our hands, Miller's catalyst also led to excellent sel[ec](#page-5-0)tivities in the KR of rac-23 (90% ee for 23, 86% ee for the [dia](#page-17-0)[cetylat](#page-18-0)ed aminoalcohol,  $S =$ 41 at  $C = 51\%$ , 24 h at 0 °C).<sup>60</sup> As expected, 12b proved to be unselective in these three cases showing the complementarity to Miller's catalyst (Figure 2)[; t](#page-18-0)his emphasizes the importance

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Figure 3. Starting sequences for the search of a selective acyl transfer catalyst.

of the second hydrogen bond donor in the enantiodifferentiating step with 12b.

The enantioseparation of racemic secondary monoalcohols is another challenging field for acylative KRs. The KR of racemic 1-phenylethanol (24) via organocatalytic acyl transfer is one of the most common test reactions in this area (efficient methods often take advantage of selective  $\pi-\pi$  interactions between substrate and catalyst)<sup>24,25,73</sup> and was therefore chosen as a test reaction for 12b as well. Catalyst 12b promoted this reaction but showed no enanti[osele](#page-17-0)[cti](#page-18-0)vity (Figure 6).<sup>74</sup> The KR of other racemic secondary alcohols like exo-norborneol (25) and rac-26 via acylative KR with catalyst 12b also l[ed](#page-5-0) [to](#page-18-0) low selectivities (Figure 6). Nonenzymatic examples of KR or desymmetrizations of primary alcohols are rare, because no second functional group, [wh](#page-5-0)ich is usually required to achieve chemical recognition by the catalyst, is close to the hydroxyl group.75,76 As expected the selectivity of 12b in the KR of racemic 27 was low (Figure 6), despite significant activity. This finding a[gain](#page-18-0) implies that the second vicinal OH-group is key for the acylation selectivity.

As the mechanism of action (vide infra) for 12b in acylative KRs requires a second hydrogen bond donor, a broader range of meso- and rac-1,2-diols 28−37 (Table 4/Scheme 2) was investigated.

The desymmetrization of meso-cyclohe[xa](#page-6-0)ne-1,2-di[ol](#page-6-0) (28) (Table 4) utilizing 12b was tested under standard conditions  $(5.3$  equiv of Ac<sub>2</sub>O, toluene), and an ee of 74% was observed. Howev[er,](#page-6-0) the reaction was slightly more selective with base (5.3 equiv of DIPEA;  $ee = 88$ ). Noncyclic analogues like 29 can also be resolved with an S-value of 16; apparently 12b is not only efficient for cyclic vicinal diols. 1,3-, 1,4- and 1,5-diols are also synthetically useful substrates and were therefore tested in the acylative KR with 12b. Racemic 1,3-diol rac-30 was only poorly resolved, and after 24 h, only 6% of the monoacetylated product was observed. To our delight, moderate selectivities were achieved in the 12b-catalyzed KR of non-vicinal 1,1′ binaphthyl-2,2'-diol rac-31 (S = 3). Enzymatic<sup>78</sup> and chemical approaches<sup>79</sup> were reported for the resolution of  $rac{79}{4}$  the nonenzymatic methods are based on inclusion [co](#page-18-0)mplexes<sup>80</sup> or

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Table 1. KR of trans-Diol  $(±)$ -1 with Peptide Catalysts 3– 12a

entry <sup>a</sup>	catal	t(h)	yield $(\%)^c$ of $(R,R)$ -2	$er^c$ of $(R,R)$ -2
$1^b$	3	15	$\overline{2}$	46:54
$2^d$	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$	11	44:56
3	5	42	$\overline{4}$	76:24
$\overline{4}$	6	18	48	69:31
5	7	210	10	54:46
6	8	210	10	58:42
$7^b$	9	210	5	53:47
$8^b$	10	15	1	75:25
9	11	15	7	50:50
10	12a	18	43	73:27

<sup>a</sup>All reactions were performed at 0  $^{\circ}$ C in a mixture of 2.25 mL of toluene and  $0.85$  mL of CHCl<sub>3</sub> with 1 equiv (43.6 mg, 0.375 mmol) of racemic substrate 1, 0.5 equiv of acetic anhydride, and 1 mol % of catalyst. <sup>b</sup>Reaction was performed at  $-20$  °C with 0.1 equiv of acetic anhydride. Without catalyst, no conversions could be observed. "Yields and enantiomeric ratios were determined by chiral GC analysis using and continuously the determinism by the alleged at 0 °C in 4.5 mL of toluene with 1 equiv of racemic substrate 1 (0.025 mmol, 2.9 mg), 5.3 equiv of acetic anhydride, and 2 mol % of catalyst in toluene.

Table 2. Screening of the KR of  $(\pm)$ -1 with Peptide Catalysts 12−17

$entry^a$	catal	R	yield $(\%)^c$ of $(R,R)$ -2	$er^c$ of $(R,R)$ -2
1	$12a^d$	Val	9.9	85:15
$2^b$	$12a$ -resin <sup>72</sup>	Val	10.2	63:37
$3^d$	$ent-12b$	Cha	57	12:88
$\overline{4}$	$12b^d$	Cha	8.3	88:12
$5^d$	13		35	57:43
6	14		9.8	60:40
7	15		8.1	46:54
8	16		10.5	21:79
9	17		5.4	67:33

a All reactions were performed at −20 °C for 15 h in a mixture of toluene and CHCl<sub>3</sub> with 1 equiv of racemic substrate 1, 0.1 equiv of acetic anhydride, and 1 mol % of catalyst (raw product, after resin cleavage and evaporating of the solvents; without further purification). Without catalyst, no conversions were observed. <sup>b</sup>Reaction was performed for 24 h. <sup>c</sup>Yields and *er* values were determined by chiral GC analysis using an internal calibration. <sup>d</sup>All reactions were performed at 0  $\rm{^{\circ}C}$  in 4.5 mL of toluene with 1 equiv of racemic substrate 1 (0.025 mmol, 2.9 mg), 5.3 equiv of acetic anhydride, and 2 mol % of catalyst.





Boc-L-(π-Me)-His-<sup>A</sup>Gly-L-Val-L-Phe-WangResin (12a-resin)

Boc-L-Cha- $^{A}$ Gly-L- $(\pi$ -Me)-His-L-Phe-OMe (13)

 $M<sub>9</sub>$ 

Me

Me



Boc-D- $(\pi$ -Me)-His-<sup>A</sup>Gly-D-Cha-D-Phe-OMe (ent-12b)



Boc-L- $(\pi$ -Me)-His-<sup>A</sup>Gly-D-Val-L-Phe-OMe (14)



Boc-D- $(\pi$ -Me)-His-<sup>A</sup>Gly-D-Val-L-Phe-OMe (16)





Boc-D- $(\pi$ -Me)-His-AGly-L-Val-L-Phe-OMe (15)

Mc

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Table 3. Screening of the KR of  $(\pm)$ -1 with Peptide Catalysts 18−21 and 12c: Investigation of the Role of the C-Terminal Amino Acid



#### Boc-L-(π-Me)-His-<sup>A</sup>Gly-L-Leu-R



a<br>All reactions were performed at -20 °C for 15 h in a mixture of toluene and CHCl<sub>3</sub> with 1 equiv of racemic substrate 1, 0.1 equiv of acetic anhydride, and 1 mol % of catalyst (raw product, after resin cleavage and evaporating of the solvents; without further purification) <sup>18</sup>−<sup>21</sup> and 12c. Without catalyst, no conversions were observed. <sup>b</sup> <sup>b</sup>Yields and enantiomer ratios were determined by chiral GC analysis using an internal calibration.



Figure 5. KR of the racemic monoacetylated substrates 2, 22, and 23.

ОН	OН		
$(\pm)$ -24	$(\pm)$ -25	(±)-26	$(\pm)$ -27
$C = 16%$ $S = 1$	$C = 22%$ $S = 1$	$C = 12%$ $S = 2$	$C = 70%$ $S = 1$

Figure 6. Testing the KR of the racemic monoalcohols 24−27 with catalyst 12b.

salt formation.<sup>81</sup> Both enantiomers can be obtained in high yields and excellent ee values  $(>99\%)$ .<sup>80,82</sup> To the best of our knowledge, n[o](#page-18-0) catalytic, nonenzymatic approaches for the acylative KR of rac-31 are known t[o da](#page-18-0)te. This is the first example for catalyst 12b displaying moderate selectivity for a substrate class different from 1,2-diols (Table 4). It is also worth mentioning that the KR of 31 with catalyst 12b and acetic anhydride proceeded rapidly (4 h) u[nd](#page-6-0)er optimal conditions (5.3 equiv of Ac<sub>2</sub>O, 0  $^{\circ}$ C), and the diol was completely converted to the corresponding monoacetylated (64%) and diacetylated (36%) products. Therefore the amount of acetic anhydride was reduced to 0.6 equiv of  $Ac_2O$ , which led to a conversion of 43% after 4 h (stirring overnight yielded 60% of monoacetylated product with 33% ee), and no diacetylated product was observed. This indicates that the KR of rac-31 is even faster than for our reference diol 1. In contrast, 12b proved to be inactive and unselective for 32 and 34 and only moderately active but rather unselective in the desymmetrization experiments with the meso-diol 33 (Table 4). An

explanation might be the rather rigid structure of 32, 33, and 34 and the steric demand of the substrates, as well as the absence of intramolecular hydrogen bonds. Peptide 12b showed higher activity for the desymmetrization of meso-1,3 diol 35 but provided no selectivity. Surprisingly, high activity and moderate selectivity was observed for the desymmetrization of 1,5-diol 36. 83

Landais et al. reported an efficient 10-step synthesis of aminocycloheptitol[s](#page-18-0) via desymmetrization/functionalization of 7-silylcycloheptatrienes. <sup>84</sup> Further functionalization of the 7silylcycloheptatrienes gave racemic products. Hence, we investigated the selecti[ve](#page-18-0) acetylation of rac-37 by 12b because it appeared as an excellent stereochemical test case.

The KR of diol rac-37 is rather challenging because of the complex structure (five stereogenic centers) and the potential formation of two product regioisomers 38 and 39. In principle catalyst 12b is capable of differentiating between both enantiomeric forms by preferring the acylation of the R,Renantiomer (configuration of the hydroxyl-groups). The highest ee, but rather low yield (yield  $= 15\%$ ), was observed for the monoacetylated regioisomer 39. The selectivity for 38 was lower, but the yield was good (yield  $= 41\%$ ) (Scheme 2). We suggest that the high selectivity but lower reactivity of 39 is due to the high steric demand of the dimethylphenylsilyl gr[ou](#page-6-0)p in the proximity to the acetylated hydroxyl group. In contrast to all other KR experiments, we found a large amount of diacetylated product 40.

Chemoselectivity of 12b. The outstanding performance of catalyst 12b for vicinal diols implies high chemoselectivity, which underlines the close relationship to natural catalysts, e.g., enzymes. Of course, high chemoselectivity is often undesirable in synthetic chemistry, which normally strives for broad substrate scope. However, highly chemoselective catalytic processes are a stringent requirement for one-pot reactions, wherein various chemicals are present in the reaction mixture. This is typically the case for domino,<sup>85</sup> tandem,<sup>85-87</sup> or cascade<sup>86,87</sup> reactions and becomes even more important for multicatalytic reactions.63,64,67,68,88 Additi[ona](#page-18-0)lly, this [appro](#page-18-0)ach could [be a](#page-18-0) useful tool for the site-selective acylation of, e.g., polyols.

We performed competition experiments for the acetylation of chemically different alcohols with 12b to investigate the chemoselectivity of our best catalyst. For comparison we performed the same experiments with 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) in parallel. Initial studies showed that 12b is capable of transferring acyl groups selectively to the  $(R,R)$ -enantiomer of trans-cycloalkane-1,2-diol 1 out of a mixture of alcohols 41− 43 (Table 5). We used the optimized standard reaction conditions for the KR. The reaction was quenched after 1 h and was analyze[d b](#page-7-0)y GC. In the presence of 12b only esters 2 and 44 were observed. Ester 2 proved to be the main product; the er of the remaining diol  $(94\% (S,S)-1)$  and  $6\% (R,R)-1)$ indicates that indeed  $(R,R)-1$  is by far the most reactive compound in the mixture. In contrast, DMAP led to the formation of the esters 2, 44, and 45 with 44 being the main product. After 2 h all of the  $(R,R)$ -1 enantiomer had been acetylated by 12b, and the catalyst showed higher activity toward 41 than to  $(S, S)$ -1. The reactivities for the acetylation of (S,S)-1 and 43 by 12b were comparable.

Catalyst 12b can also differentiate between cis- and transcyclohexane-1,2-diol; the acetylation of a 1:1 mixture of 1 and 28 resulted in a ratio of  $84:16$   $(2/28)$  after 3 h. In contrast, DMAP proved to be less active and showed only a marginal

#### <span id="page-6-0"></span>Table 4. Testing the KR and Desymmetrization of Diols 28−36 with 12b



<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: 1 equiv of diol in toluene, 5.3 equiv of Ac<sub>2</sub>O, and 2 mol % of catalyst 12b (purified via HPLC). Without catalyst, no conversions were observed. <sup>b</sup>S-values and conversions determined using the procedure of Kagan and Fiaud.<sup>77</sup> ce values were determined by chiral conversions GC analysis or chiral HPLC. <sup>d</sup>Reaction conditions: 1 equiv of diol in toluene, 5.3 equiv of Ac<sub>2</sub>O, 2 mol % of catalyst 12b and 5.3 equiv of DIPEA.<br>Exection conditions: 1 equiv of diol in toluene, 0.6 equiv of Ac.O. and <sup>e</sup>Reaction conditions: 1 equiv of diol in toluene, 0.6 equiv of Ac<sub>2</sub>O, and 2 mol % of catalyst (purified via [HP](#page-18-0)LC) 12b.

Scheme 2. KR of rac-37 Utilizing 12b

HO, 'OH	12b 5 mol % 5.3 equiv Ac <sub>2</sub> O $0 °C$ , PhCH <sub>3</sub> , R=Boc	HO, ு⊖ OH	Si HO, U R	o OН	
$(\pm) - 37$	4 h	37	O 38	39	40
	yield:	13%	41%	15%	31%
	ee:	42%	64%	88%	62%
	24 h yield: ee:		34% 66%	2% 68%	64% 32%

preference for the trans-diol. The results for 12b (Table 6) are remarkable because both diols should have comparable nucleophilicities and differ only in the configuration [of](#page-7-0) one OH group. We conclude that stronger hydrogen-bond interactions between  $(R,R)$ -1 and 12b compared to  $(S,S)$ -,  $(R, S)$ -, and  $(S, R)$ -1 and 12b are responsible for  $(R, R)$ -1

preferential acetylation. The structure of  $(R,R)$ -1 seems to fit perfectly into the "pocket" formed by 12b. This extraordinary high chemo- and enantioselectivity is an astonishing feature for a small molecule.

Mechanistic Model for the Enantioselective Acylation with 12b. For a better understanding of the chemical <span id="page-7-0"></span>Table 5. Yields (via GC−MS) of 2, 44, 45, and 46 Obtained in the Competitive Acetylation Reaction



Table 6. Concurrent and Competitive Acetylation of trans-Diol (±)-1 and meso-Diol 28 with Catalyst 12b and DMAP



a<br>Reactions performed at −20 °C in 4.5 mL of toluene with 1 equiv of racemic substrate 1 (0.025 mmol, 2.9 mg) and *meso* substrate 28 (0.025 mmol, 2.9 mg), 5.3 equiv of acetic anhydride, and 2 mol % 12b or DMAP. Without catalyst, no conversions were observed. <sup>b</sup>Yield, *er* values, and the 2:28 ratios were determined by chiral GC analysis.

recognition process of the substrate by the catalyst responsible for the selectivity, we attempted NMR polarization transfer<sup>89</sup> and IR studies $90$  at variable temperatures with 12b, but we found no evidence for a secondary structure at rt (s[ee](#page-18-0) Supporting In[for](#page-18-0)mation for spectroscopic data). We also investigated the possibility of a structure-forming element at [the stage of the acylium i](#page-17-0)on, and therefore NMR spectra of the acylium ion were measured at rt in  $CDCl<sub>3</sub>$ , but again no unusual NOEs indicating a secondary structure were observed.

In 2009 Sunoj et al. performed ONIOM computations at the  $B3LYP/6-31G(d):PM3$  level that yielded transition structures for the 12b-catalyzed acyl transfer onto  $(R,R)$ - and  $(S,S)$ -1.<sup>91</sup> These computations nicely explain the observed high enantioselectivities by an energy difference of 4.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> between the two transition states. Nevertheless, Sunoj's computations could not properly estimate the influence of hydrophobic R-groups in the  $i + 2$  position on the selectivity, because the  $B3LYP/6-31G(d):PM3$  level of theory does not include dispersion interactions.

 $\overline{a}$ 

Hence, we applied a molecular dynamics search for low-lying conformations of the catalyst/acylium ion adduct and  $(R,R)-1$ using the Merck molecular force field  $(MMFF)^{92}$  and reoptimized the lowest-lying conformation at M06−2X/6-  $31+G(d,p)$ , which was parametrized to take into [acc](#page-18-0)ount dispersion interactions.  $93,94$  The acetylated catalyst 12b generates a chiral environment around the substrate (Figure 7, right and left). Irrespe[ctive o](#page-18-0)f the starting geometry, the most favorable conformer always placed the cyclohexyl group in 12b [in](#page-8-0) close proximity to 1 (Figure 7). This arrangement helps rationalize why more hydrophobic R-groups provide higher ee values, as they enhance the Londo[n](#page-8-0) dispersion interactions with the substrate (Figure 7, right). $95,96$ 

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

Figure 7. Left: M06−2X/6-31+G(d,p)<sup>93,94</sup> optimized structure for the enantioselective acetylation of *trans-*cyclohexane-1,2-diol 1 in the "pocket" of the acetylated catalyst. Hydrogen atoms on the catalyst are omitted for clarity.  $C = \text{gray}$ ,  $N = \text{blue}$ ,  $O = \text{red}$ . Right: dispersion interactions of substrate and catalyst as judged by th[e typ](#page-18-0)ical van der Waals contacts.

The two geometrically nearest  $C=O$  groups apparently provide hydrogen bonding acceptors (Figure 7) needed for chiral recognition of the diols. The model also emphasizes that the <sup>A</sup>Gly building block provides a scaffold that separates both ends of the peptide and also holds the centers governing recognition and stereochemistry in place. It seems that rac-1, as well as the acylium ion adduct have to be present to structure the "active site" of the peptide by dispersion (Figure 7, right) and hydrogen-bonding interactions in a rather dynamic binding event.

Alternative Electrophiles in Group Transfer Reactions Catalyzed via Peptide 12b. In addition to acetic anhydride, we tested a range of electrophiles in KRs and desymmetrization experiments. First of all, we investigated the role of the electrophile by determining the activity and selectivity of 12b in the KR of rac-1 using various acyl donors (Table 7). All anhydrides reacted with 1 to give the corresponding monoesters in good yields. In contrast, vinyl acetate as electrophile, which is often used in combination with enzymes, provided no conversion. Acetyl chloride gave only 5% of the monoacetylated product after 4 h and resulted in no enantioselectivity, neither for the starting material nor for the product (the background reaction led to similar conversions in the same time). Even addition of base to avoid the protonation of the catalysts only slightly increased the selectivity and reactivity. The finding that acyl chlorides, though they generally have higher carbonyl reactivities than anhydrides, are less reactive in acetyl transfer reactions catalyzed by the nucleophilic catalysts (DMAP) is common.<sup>98−103</sup> The importance of the counterion for the deprotonation of the alcohol was computationally confirmed by Zipse et a[l.](#page-18-0)<sup>102–104</sup> The counterion effects were experimentally analyzed by Lutz et al. in their X-ray, NMR- and IR-spectroscopic in[vest](#page-18-0)i[gati](#page-18-0)on of N-acetyl-DMAP salts.<sup>104</sup> Surprisingly no evidence for the formation of a "tight" ion pair for the N-acetyl-pyridinium chloride was found, but in the c[ase](#page-18-0) of N-acetyl-pyridinium acetate the analysis of the X-ray data, as well as the computations, confirmed the existence of a "tight" ion pair. Under our reaction conditions with no additional base the proton transfer has to be accomplished by the counterion, and therefore acetic anhydride reacts faster. The reaction with acetic or isobutyric anhydride proved to be fast compared to the sterically more hindered benzoic and





 $\alpha$ All reactions were performed at 0 °C in 4.5 mL of toluene, 1 equiv of racemic substrate 1 (0.025 mmol, 2.9 mg), 5.3 equiv of the electrophile, and 2 mol % of catalyst 12b (purified via HPLC). Without catalyst, no conversions were observed. <sup>b</sup>S-values and conversions determined using the procedure of Kagan and Fiaud.<sup>77</sup> een versions determined doing the procedure of raight directions were effections were determined by chiral GC analysis. <sup>d</sup>The reactions were performed at 0 °C in 4.5 mL of toluene, 1 equiv of racemic substrate [1](#page-18-0) (0.025 mmol, 2.9 mg), 5.3 equiv of the electrophile, 2 mol % of catalyst 12b and 5.3 equiv of DIPEA.

pivalic anhydrides (Table 7). The use of acetic anhydride and isobutyric anhydride led to high selectivities (S >50 for acetic anhydride,  $S = 41$  for isobutyric anhydride), whereas for

<span id="page-9-0"></span>Scheme 3. Reaction of DMAP and MeIm with Boc<sub>2</sub>O and Diol rac-1 Leading to O-Boc-2e, O,O-di-Boc-product 48 and the Cyclic Carbonate  $47^a$ 



a Yields were determined via GC−MS; yields of isolated products are given in parentheses.

# Table 8. KR of trans-Cyclohexane-1,2-diol 1 with Boc<sub>2</sub>O using Various Reaction Conditions



 $^a$ All reactions were performed in 4.5 mL of dry toluene at rt.  $^b$ This reaction was carried out at 0  $^{\circ}{\rm C}$  in 4.5 mL of dry toluene.  $^{\circ}{\rm Yields}$  and enantiomer ratios were determined by chiral GC analysis. <sup>d</sup>S-values (selectivity factors) determined by the method of Kagan and Fiaud.<sup>77</sup>



Figure 8. Proposed mechanism of the KR of trans-cyclohexane-1,2-diol with Boc<sub>2</sub>O and the reoptimized (M06−2X/6-31+G(d,p)) structure of the catalyst/tert-butoxycarbonylium adduct.

#### <span id="page-10-0"></span>Table 9. Competitive Functionalization of rac-1 with 12b and DMAP



a<br>S-values and conversions C determined using the procedure of Kagan and Fiaud.<sup>77 b</sup>Conversions were determined by GC−MS analysis. <sup>c</sup>er values were determined by chiral GC analysis. <sup>d</sup>Reaction was performed with 5.3 equiv of Ac<sub>2</sub>O in absence of other electrophiles.

benzoic anhydride  $(S = 8)$  and pivalic anhydride  $(S = 5)$ , only moderate selectivities were observed.

The direct use of acids as electrophiles in acylation reactions was realized by using peptide 12b and carbodiimides (DI) for the activation and in situ formation of the anhydrides from carboxylic acid precursor; this constitutes the first enantioselective Steglich esterification.<sup>66</sup>

Other electrophiles such as di-tert-butyl dicarbonate (Boc2O), diphenylchloroph[osp](#page-18-0)hate, and various benzenesulfonyl chlorides were also used as electrophiles in the KR of  $(\pm)$ -1 with 12b. Miller et al. reported the selective sulfonylation (benzenesulfonyl chlorides)<sup>105</sup> and phosphorylation (diphenylchlorophosphate)<sup>31,106,107</sup> mediated by  $\pi$ -(Me)-histidine containing peptides and achiev[ed a](#page-18-0)n ee of 98% in 65% yield for the phosphorylation [of](#page-17-0) [a](#page-18-0) [me](#page-18-0)so-inositol derivative. The selective sulfonylation of various functionalized meso-1,3-diols was accomplished in high yields and good selectivities (yield up to 76%; er up to  $97:3$ ).<sup>105</sup> The reactivity of Boc<sub>2</sub>O toward alcohols and diols in the presence of 4-(dimethylamino) pyridine (DMAP) and N[-m](#page-18-0)ethylimidazole (MeIm) has been reported by Hassner et al.<sup>108</sup> The transfer of the Boc-group onto rac-1 was tested utilizing 30 mol % DMAP (30 mol % Nmethylimidazole) and 1.2 [equi](#page-19-0)v of  $Boc<sub>2</sub>O$  (Scheme 3).

While the monoacetylated diol  $(R,R)$ -2 is the only product of the acetylation reaction, the reaction with  $Boc<sub>2</sub>O$  $Boc<sub>2</sub>O$  is more complex, and three products were obtained by the DMAP- and MeIm-catalyzed reaction (Scheme 3). Therefore the KR of rac-1 with  $Boc<sub>2</sub>O$  required optimization (Table 8).

In principle, the enantioselectiv[e](#page-9-0) transfer of the Boc-group with 12b is possible, but the reaction r[eq](#page-9-0)uires conditions different from those of the acylation reaction. While the

acet[yla](#page-18-0)tion reactions are most efficient using a large excess of Ac<sub>2</sub>O (5.3 equiv) at low temperature (0  $^{\circ}$ C), the transfer of the Boc-group works best at rt, with 2 equiv of  $Boc<sub>2</sub>O$  and 5 mol % of 12b.

The generation of the O-Boc protected diol 2e is catalyzed by 12b, whereas the formation of the cyclic carbonate 47 only occurs in the presence of a strong base. The reaction mechanism implies that the formation of the tert-butoxide during the catalytic cycle probably removes the proton from the second alcohol functionality and therefore promotes cyclization to the cyclic carbonate  $47$  (Figure 8).<sup>108</sup> Evidence for this proposal comes from the finding that 2e does not cyclize to 47 in solution even in the presence of [ca](#page-9-0)t[alys](#page-19-0)t 12b. In contrast, addition of  $Boc<sub>2</sub>O$  to the solution gives only the cyclic carbonate 47.

Using less  $Boc<sub>2</sub>O$  minimized the formation of tert-butoxide, and the rate of cyclization of 2e decreased. A catalyst loading of 5 mol % and higher temperature accelerates the reaction and avoids the generation of 47.

Although sulfonylation reactions are widely used in organic synthesis, catalytic asymmetric sulfonyl transfer reactions are rare.<sup>105,109</sup> The KR of trans-cyclohexane-1,2-diol with various benzenesulfonyl chlorides were therefore examined. Much to our [dis](#page-18-0)[may](#page-19-0),  $p$ -Cl- and  $p$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-benzenesulfonyl chlorides gave no reaction, while p-nitrobenzenesulfonyl chloride unselectively provided 14% of the monosulfonylated-trans-1,2-cylohexanediol and 8% of the disulfonylated-trans-1,2-cylohexanediol after 24 h at rt.

Phosphoryl group transfer plays an important role in natural processes like cell signaling pathways. Histidine containing kinases transfer the phosphoryl group to other nucleophiles.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>Miller et al. successfully applied a histidine-containing peptide catalyst in the asymmetric phosphorylation of myoinositol.<sup>31,106,107</sup> The phosphorylation of *trans-cyclohexane-1*,2-diol mediated by  $12b$  utilizing  $POCl(OPh)_{2}$  under optimized rea[cti](#page-17-0)[on con](#page-18-0)ditions (10 mol % 12b, 1 equiv of  $\text{POCI}(\text{OPh})_2$ , 1 equiv of  $Et_3N$ , rt,  $PhCH_3$ ), unfortunately, yielded only 40% of the monophosphorylated product.

To test again the chemoselectivity (this time for the electrophile), we performed a competition experiment using different electrophiles (Ac<sub>2</sub>O, POCl(OPh)<sub>2</sub> or POCl(OEt)<sub>2</sub> and  $p$ -NO<sub>2</sub>−SO<sub>2</sub>Cl) for the functionalization of rac-1. The progress of the reaction was monitored via GC−MS and TLC. For reasons of comparability, 12b and DMAP were used as catalysts in parallel runs (Table 9).

After 1 h, 12b converted nearly consumed all of  $(R,R)$ -1  $(C =$ 48%). The DMAP-catalyzed [re](#page-10-0)action is slower and only provided 16% yield after 1 h. Under optimized reaction conditions, only 2 was observed with both catalysts.  $K_2CO_3$  was used as base to avoid protonation of the catalyst. The selectivity of the competitive functionalization experiment  $(S = 14)$  is lower compared to the acylation experiment  $(S = 32)$  but still good. These results show the capability of 12b to chemoselectively acylate rac-1 even in the presence of other good electrophiles.

## ■ CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

We identified the highly chemo- and enantioselective peptide catalyst 12b for acyl transfer onto racemic alkane-1,2-diols. In contrast to common peptide design approaches, 12b does not display a preferred secondary structure but instead recognizes the diols in a dynamic binding event of the acylium cation complex involving hydrogen bonding and dispersion interactions.

Anhydrides proved to be the most efficient acyl source. Competitive experiments for substrates and electrophiles show extraordinary chemoselectivity for cyclic trans-alkane-1,2-diols as the substrate and acetic anhydride as the electrophile. Such a narrow substrate scope is usually only observed for enzymes or generally much larger molecules utilized as catalysts. It is therefore a rather surprising finding that a short oligopeptide such as 12b mimics the behavior of structures that are typically by orders of magnitude more complex, but with the advantage that both substrate enantiomers can selectively be acetylated.

Such exquisite chemoselectivity is the basis for multicatalytic approaches that are now being realized. These provide high potential for rapidly reaching molecular complexity from simple starting materials in one pot, not requiring protective group chemistry.

## **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

General Methods. Unless otherwise noted, all chemicals were purchased commercially at the highest purity grade available. Acetic anhydride, acetyl chloride, diphenylchlorophosphate and diethylchlorophosphate were distilled prior use and stored under argon. Potassium tert-butylate and  $K_2CO_3$  were dried at 200 °C under a vacuum and stored under argon. DBU,  $Et_3N$ , and DIPEA were distilled and dried prior use. All catalytic reactions were carried out under argon atmosphere employing oven- and flame-dried glassware. All solvents were distilled prior use and dried by standard laboratory protocols.  ${}^{1}H$  and  ${}^{13}C$  NMR spectra were recorded on 600, 400, and 200 MHz spectrometers using TMS as an internal standard with chemical shifts given in ppm relative to TMS ( $\delta$  = 0.00 ppm) or the respective solvent peaks. Two-dimensional NMR experiments were recorded on 600 or 400 MHz spectrometers using apparatus standard pulse sequences and parameters. ESI mass spectra were recorded using methanol solutions of the respective compounds. High resolution ESI mass spectrometry (ESI-TOF) was performed using methanol/water solution of the respective compounds, and MS/HRMS were recorded on a sector field spectrometer (EI-sector field). Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using precoated polyester sheets Polygram SIL  $G/UV_{254}$  Machery-Nagel, 0.2 mm silica gel with fluorescent indicator. Visualization was accomplished by irradiation with UV lamp and/or molybdophosphoric acid solution (5%  $H_3[P(Mo_3O_{10})_4]$  in ethanol). Flash column chromatography and filtration was performed using Merck silica gel 60 Å (0.040−0.063 mm).

Availability and Characterization of the Catalysts. General Procedure I: HBTU/HOBt-Mediated Peptide Coupling on Solid Support. All peptides were prepared employing standard solid phase peptide synthesis techniques (SPPS), utilizing Fmoc-protected amino acids. 2-(1H-Benzotriazole-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate (HBTU) was used as the coupling agent and 1 hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt) as a racemization suppressant. Couplings: 1 equiv of the amino acid on solid support was shaken twice with 2 equiv of amino acid, 2 equiv of HOBt, 2 equiv of HBTU, and 4 equiv of DIPEA in DMF for 30 min. Fmoc-L-Phe-Wang resin was used as solid support and swollen in DMF for 30 min prior to first Fmoccleavage.

General Procedure II: Fmoc-Cleavage on Solid Support. Cleavage of N-terminal Fmoc-protective groups was accomplished by shaking the solid phase supported peptide twice in 25% piperidine in DMF (25 min). Prior to the next coupling step, the resin was washed 5 times with DMF, DCM and DMF. For storing, the resin should be washed 5 times with DMF, DCM and diethyl ether and kept in a refrigerator until use.

General Procedure III: Peptide Cleavage from the Resin. Peptides were cleaved from their resins as methyl esters by shaking the functionalized resin twice for 2 days with methanol/ $Et<sub>3</sub>N/THF$ (9:1:1). The resin was filtered off and washed several times with chloroform. The collected solutions were concentrated under reduced pressure and purified via flash silica gel chromatography eluting with chloroform/methanol (95:5).

General Procedure IV: EDC/HOBt-Mediated Peptide Coupling in Solution. The same equivalents of N-protected amino acids or peptide fragments, 1.1 equiv of 1-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-3-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC), 1.1 equiv of HOBt and 1.1 equiv of  $Et_3N$ were dissolved in DCM and stirred for 12 h at rt. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and extracted with 0.5 M citric acid (4×) and saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product was dried in a desiccator over paraffin wax and  $P_2O_5$ .

General Procedure V: Cleavage of the -O<sup>t</sup>Bu-Protecting Group (Boc). The Boc-protected peptide was dissolved in a solution of HCl in 1,4-dioxane (4.0 M) and stirred for 1 h. The excess of HCl was removed by bubbling argon through the solution. After evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure, the deprotected peptide was coupled without further purification.

The peptides were characterized via electrospray mass spectrometry and used in the screening experiments without further purification. Peptides of interest were purified via HPLC or silica flash gel chromatography. Standard procedures for the solid phase Fmoc-based peptide synthesis as well as the Boc-based solution phase peptide chemistry can also be found in previous publications.<sup>61,68,69,71</sup> The general procedure for the catalytic reactions can be found in the literature.<sup>61,68,69</sup> S-values and conversions were calculate[d accordi](#page-18-0)ng to Kagan's equations.<sup>77</sup> The enantiomeric excess values for the esters and recovere[d unrea](#page-18-0)cted alcohols were determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC or c[hir](#page-18-0)al GC.

Boc-L-( $\pi$ -Me)-His-OMe (3). Amino acid 3 is a byproduct occurring during the Wang resin cleavage of the N-terminal Boc-L- $(\pi$ -Me)histidine peptides. The methyl esters can be purified and isolated via HPLC. The crude product was purified by preparative HPLC (eluent: TBME/CH<sub>3</sub>OH 93:7) UV-detector  $\lambda$  = 254 nm,  $E_{\text{max}}$  = 2.56; refractometer; Column  $1 = 250$  nm,  $d = 8$  mm, LiChrosorb Diol (7)

 $\mu$ m, Merck); retention time (3) = 6.50 min.  $^1{\rm H}$  NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 7.32 [s, 1 H, H<sub>Ar</sub>, CH (His)]; 6.71 [s, 1 H, H<sub>Ar</sub>, CH (His)]; 5.19 [d, 1 H, J = 7.3 Hz, NH], 4.52–4.40 [m, 1 H, H<sub>a</sub>]; 3.67  $(s, 3 H, OCH<sub>3</sub>)$ ; 3.51  $(s, 3 H, NCH<sub>3</sub>)$ ; 3.11–2.91 [m, 2 H, H<sub>β</sub>]; 1.35 [s, 9 H, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 171.6  $(C=0)$ ; 155.0  $(C=0)$ ; 138.3; 128.2; 126.4; 80.2; 53.0; 52.5; 31.3; 28.2; 26.8. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{\nu}/\text{cm}^{-1}$  = 2978; 1746; 1709; 1507; 1438; 1367; 1290; 1253; 1217; 1200; 1167. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]+ calcd for  $C_{13}H_{22}N_3O_4^+$  284.1605, found 284.1610.

Hoveyda's Catalyst: (−)-(S)-N-((R)-3,3-Dimethylbutan-2-yl)-3,3 dimethyl-2-((1-methyl-1H-imidazol-2-yl)methylamino)butanamide (4). Catalyst 4 was purchased and used without further purification.

Boc-L-His-<sup>A</sup>Gly-L-Phe-OMe (5). For preparation and analytics, see Ph.D. thesis of Lukas Wanka.<sup>110</sup>

Boc-L-(π-Me)-His-<sup>A</sup>Gly-L-Phe-OMe (**6**) and Boc-L-(τ-Bzl)-His-<sup>A</sup>Gly-LPhe-OMe (7). Analytical dat[a o](#page-19-0)f peptide 6 and 7 were identical to those reported in literature. $^{111}$ 

Boc-L-(τ-Bzl)-His-Gly-<sup>A</sup>Gly-L-Phe-OMe (8). Peptide 8 was synthesized using standard Fmoc-[cou](#page-19-0)pling procedures. ESI-MS:  $m/z = 741.4$  $[M + H]^{+}$  (calcd  $m/z = 741.4$ );  $m/z = 763.4$   $[M + Na]^{+}$  (calcd  $m/z =$ 763.4);  $m/z = 1481.2$  [2M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 1481.8$ );  $m/z = 1503.2$  $[2M + Na]^{+}$  (calcd  $m/z = 1503.8$ ).

Boc-L-(τ-Bzl)-His-<sup>A</sup>Gly-Gly-L-Phe-OMe (9). Peptide 9 was synthesized using standard Fmoc-coupling procedures. ESI-MS:  $m/z = 741.5$  $[M + H]^{+}$  (calcd  $m/z = 741.4$ );  $m/z = 763.4$   $[M + Na]^{+}$  (calcd  $m/z =$ 763.4);  $m/z = 1481.3$  [2M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 1481.8$ );  $m/z = 1503.3$  $[2M + Na]^{+}$  (calcd  $m/z = 1503.8$ ).

Boc-L-( $\pi$ -Me)-His-<sup>A</sup>Gly-L-Val-OMe (10). Peptide 10 was synthesized using standard Fmoc-coupling procedures. ESI-MS:  $m/z = 560.3$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 560.3$ );  $m/z = 582.3$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z =$ 582.3);  $m/z = 1141.1$   $[2M + Na]$ <sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 1141.7$ ).

Boc-L-(π-Me)-His-L-Val-<sup>A</sup>Gly-L-Val-L-Phe-OMe (11). Peptide 11 was synthesized using standard Fmoc-coupling procedures. ESI-MS:  $m/z =$ 806.5  $[M + H]^+$  (calcd  $m/z = 806.5$ );  $m/z = 828.4$   $[M + Na]^+$  (calcd  $m/z = 828.5$ ;  $m/z = 1633.3$  [2M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 1633.9$ ).

Boc-L-(π-Me)-His-<sup>A</sup> Gly-L-Val-L-Phe-OMe (12a) and Boc-L-(π-Me)- His-A Gly-L-Cha-L-Phe-OMe (12b). Synthesis and analytical data can be found in the literature.<sup>60</sup>

Boc-L-(π-Me)-His-<sup>A</sup>Gly-L-Val-L-Phe-WangResin (**12a**-resin). No characterization possib[le;](#page-18-0) 12a-resin was characterized by cleaving the peptides from the peptide loaded resin. A small amount of loaded resin 12a was used for the catalytic experiments.

Boc-*D-(π-Me)-His-<sup>A</sup>Gly-D-Cha-D-Phe-OMe (ent-12b)*. The crude product was purified by preparative HPLC (eluent: TBME/CH<sub>3</sub>OH 90:10) UV-detector  $\lambda = 254$  nm,  $E_{\text{max}} = 2.56$ ; refractometer; column l  $= 250$  mm,  $d = 8$  mm, LiChrosorb Diol (7  $\mu$ m, Merck); retention time  $(ent-12b) = 6.60$  min. 176 mg  $(0.23$  mmol; 77%) of *ent-*12b were isolated as colorless solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 7.34 [s, 1 H, H<sub>Ar</sub>, CH (His)]; 7.25−7.14 [m, 3 H, H<sub>Ar</sub> (Phe)]; 7.09− 7.01 [m, 2 H, H<sub>Ar</sub> (Phe)]; 6.78 [s, 1 H, H<sub>Ar</sub>, CH (His)]; 6.45 [d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H, NH]; 5.92 [d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, NH]; 5.69 [s, 1 H, NH]; 5.09 [d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H, NH]; 4.78 −4.69 [m, 1 H, H<sub>a</sub>]; 4.43–4.31 [m, 1 H, H<sub>a</sub>]; 4.16–3.99 [m, 1 H, H<sub>a</sub>]; 3.64 (s, 3 H, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 3.53 (s, 3 H, NCH<sub>3</sub>); 3.09−2.96 [m, 2 H, H<sub>β</sub>]; 2.96−2.89 [m, 2 H, H<sub>β</sub>]; 2.13 [s, 2 H, adamantane]; 1.95−1.77 [m, 6 H, adamantane + Cha]; 1.71− 1.49 [m, 12 H, adamantane + Cha]; 1.44−1.38 [m, 1 H, Cha]; 1.37 [s, 9 H, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]; 1.23–0.98 [m, 4 H, Cha]; 0.92–0.71 [m, 2 H, Cha]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 176.3 (C=O); 171.8  $(C=0)$ ; 171.6  $(C=0)$ ; 169.7  $(C=0)$ , 155.4  $(C=0)$ ; 138.3; 135.7; 129.2; 128.6; 128.3; 127.2; 80.5; 54.4; 53.2; 52.3; 50.7; 42.5; 42.1; 40.3; 40.3; 39.5; 38.2; 38.0; 37.8; 35.1; 34.2; 33.5; 32.7; 31.5; 29.1; 29.1; 28.3; 26.8; 26.3; 26.1; 26.1. ESI-MS:  $m/z = 761.6$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup>  $\text{(cal } m/z = 761.5); m/z = 783.5 \text{ [M + Na]}^{+} \text{(cal } m/z = 783.4); m/z = 761.5$  $z = 1543.3$  [2M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 1543.9$ ). HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/$ z:  $[M + H]^+$  calcd for  $C_{42}H_{61}N_6O_7^+$  761.4596, found 761.4610. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{\nu}/\text{cm}^{-1}$  = 3313; 2922; 2853; 1747; 1665; 1509; 1450; 1366; 1248; 1169.

Boc-L-Cha-<sup>A</sup>Gly-L-(π-Me)-His-L-Phe-OMe (13). Peptide 13 was synthesized using standard Fmoc-coupling strategy. 184 mg (0.24 mmol; 80%) of 13 were isolated as colorless solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 7.58 [s, 1 H, H<sub>Ar</sub>, CH (His)], 7.25–7.13 [m, 3 H, H<sub>Ar</sub> (Phe)], 7.00 [d, 2 H, J= 8 Hz, H<sub>Ar</sub> (Phe)], 6.82 [s, 1 H, H<sub>Ar</sub>, CH (His)], 6.39 [d, 1 H, J= 8 Hz, NH (Phe)], 5.89 [s, 1 H, NH (Cha)], 4.88 [s, 1 H, NH (<sup>A</sup>Gly)], 4.65 [q, 1 H, J= 7.2 Hz, H<sub>a</sub> (Phe)], 4.51 [q, 1 H, J= 7.2 Hz, H<sub>α</sub> (Cha)], 3.98–3.89 [m, 2 H, H<sub>α</sub> (His) + NH (His)], 3.64 [s, 3 H, OCH<sub>3</sub>], 3.60 [s, 3 H, NCH<sub>3</sub>], 3.10−3.01 [m, 1 H, Hβ (Phe)], 3.00–2.91 [m, 3 H, Hβ (His) + H<sub>β</sub> (Phe)], 2.17– 2.09 [m, 2 H, adamantane], 2.03−1.78 [m, 7 H, adamantane + Cha], 1.75−1.50 [m, 12 H, adamantane + Cha], 1.38 [s, 9 H, C(CH3)], 1.27−1.01 [m, 4 H, Cha], 0.97−0.74 [m, 2 H, Cha]. 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 176.7 (C=O); 171.9 (C=O); 171.5  $(C=0)$ ; 170.2  $(C=0)$ ; 155.8  $(C=0)$ ; 137.9, 135.8, 129.1, 128.6, 127.6, 127.1, 77.2, 53.8, 52.5, 52.0, 51.7, 42.6, 42.4, 40.5, 40.3, 39.9, 38.1, 37.9, 37.5, 35.2, 34.1, 33.7, 32.7, 32.0, 39.1, 28.3, 26.6, 26.4, 26.3, 26.1. ESI-MS:  $m/z = 761.3$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 761.5$ ). HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>42</sub>H<sub>61</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>7</sub><sup>+</sup> 761.4596, found 761.4575.

Boc-L-( $\pi$ -Me)-His-<sup>A</sup>Gly-p-Val-L-Phe-OMe (14). Peptide 14 was synthesized using standard Fmoc-coupling strategy. The crude product was purified by preparative HPLC (eluent: TBME/CH<sub>3</sub>OH 90:10) UV-detector  $\lambda = 254$  nm,  $E_{\text{max}} = 2.56$ ; refractometer; column l = 250 mm,  $d = 8$  mm, LiChrosorb Diol (7  $\mu$ m, Merck); retention time (14) = 4.20 min. 193 mg (0.27 mmol; 91%) of 14 were isolated as colorless solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 7.38 [s, 1 H, H<sub>Ar</sub>, CH (His)]; 7.31−7.20 [m, 3 H, HAr (Phe)]; 7.17−7.11 [m, 2 H, HAr (Phe)]; 7.00 [bs, 1 H, NH]; 6.83 [s, 1 H, H<sub>Ar</sub>, CH (His)]; 6.35 [bs, 1 H, NH]; 6.27 [d, 1 H, J = 8.4 Hz, NH]; 5.31 [d, 1 H, J = 6.4 Hz, NH]; 4.91−4.84 [m, 1 H, H<sub>a</sub>]; 4.35 [dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 8.0 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 5.7 Hz, 1 H, H<sub>a</sub>]; 4.32−4.24 [m, 1 H, H<sub>α</sub>]; 3.70 (s, 3 H, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 3.56 (s, 3 H, NCH<sub>3</sub>); 3.22−3.14 [m, 1 H, H<sub>β</sub>]; 3.05−2.95 [m, 3 H, H<sub>β</sub>]; 2.23−1.55 (m, 14 H, adamantane); 2.16−2.08 [m, 1 H, H<sub>β</sub>]; 1.42 (s, 9 H, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>); 0.77 [d, 3 H, J = 6.8 Hz, H<sub>γ</sub> (Val)]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 176.4 (C=O); 171.7  $(C=0)$ ; 171.0  $(C=0)$ ; 169.7  $(C=0)$ , 155.4  $(C=0)$ ; 138.2; 136.0; 129.1; 128.6; 128.4; 127.1; 80.2; 57.3; 54.2; 53.2; 52.4; 52.3; 42.7; 42.7; 40.0; 38.5; 38.1; 38.1; 35.0; 31.5; 31.4; 29.1; 29.1; 28.3; 27.2; 19.3; 17.5. ESI-MS:  $m/z = 707.4$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 707.4$ );  $m/z$  $= 729.3$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 729.4$ );  $m/z = 1413.1$  [2M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 1413.8$ ). HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{38}H_{55}N_6O_7^+$  707.4127, found 707.4140. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{\nu}/\text{cm}^{-1} = 3312$ ; 2912; 1745; 1661; 1509; 1455; 1367; 1248; 1169.

Boc-D- $(\pi$ -Me)-His-<sup>A</sup>Gly-L-Val-L-Phe-OMe (15). Peptide 15 was synthesized using standard Fmoc-based SPPS strategy. ESI-MS:  $m/z$  $= 707.4$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 707.4$ );  $m/z = 729.4$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 729.4$ ;  $m/z = 1435.0$   $[2M + Na]$ <sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 1435.8$ ).

Boc-D- $(\pi$ -Me)-His-<sup>A</sup>Gly-D-Val-L-Phe-OMe (16). Peptide 16 was synthesized using standard Fmoc-based SPPS strategy. ESI-MS:  $m/z$  $= 707.5$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcdm/z = 707.4); m/z = 729.4 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 729.4$ );  $m/z = 745.3$  [M + K]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 745.4$ );  $m/z =$ 1435.1  $[2M + Na]^{+}$  (calcd  $m/z = 1435.8$ ).

Boc-L-(π-Me)-Hlis-L-Val-<sup>A</sup>Gly-L-Phe-OMe (17). Peptide 17 was synthesized using standard Fmoc-based SPPS strategy. ESI-MS: m/z  $= 707.4$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 707.4$ );  $m/z = 729.3$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 729.4$ ;  $m/z = 1435.1$   $[2M + Na]^+$  (calcd  $m/z = 1435.8$ ).

Boc-L-(π-Me)-His-<sup>A</sup>Gly-L-Leu-L-Ala-OMe (18). Peptide 18 was synthesized using standard Fmoc-based SPPS strategy. ESI-MS: m/z  $= 645.4$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 645.4$ );  $m/z = 667.4$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 667.4$ ).

Boc-L- $(\pi$ -Me)-His-<sup>A</sup>Gly-L-Leu-L-Val-OMe (19). Peptide 19 was synthesized using standard Fmoc-based SPPS strategy. ESI-MS: m/z  $= 673.4$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 673.4$ );  $m/z = 695.4$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 695.4$ ).

B0c-L-(π-Me)-His-<sup>A</sup>Gly-L-Leu-L-Leu-OMe (**20**). Peptide **20** was synthesized using standard Fmoc-based SPPS strategy. ESI-MS:  $m/z$  $= 687.4$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 687.4$ );  $m/z = 709.3$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 709.4$ );  $m/z = 1395.1$  [2M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 1395.9$ ).

Boc-L-( $\pi$ -Me)-His-<sup>A</sup>Gly-L-Leu-L-Cha-OMe (21). Peptide 21 was synthesized using standard Fmoc-based SPPS strategy. ESI-MS:  $m/z$  $= 727.5$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 727.5$ );  $m/z = 749.4$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 749.5$ );  $m/z = 1475.3$  [2M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd  $m/z = 1475.9$ ).

Chiral-GC Properties and Characterization Data of the Alcohols. trans-Cyclohexane-1,2-diol (1). Alcohol 1 is commercially available. The GC retention times and characterization of 1 can be found in the literature.<sup>60</sup>

trans-1-Acetoxycyclohexan-2-ol (2). The GC retention times, the availability, as well as [the](#page-18-0) characterization of 2a can be found in the literature.

trans-1,2-Diacetoxycyclohexane. Enantiomers of trans-1,2-diacetoxycyclo[he](#page-18-0)xane were separated by chiral GC employing a 30 m FS-Hydrodex β-6TBDM column (Macherey Nagel). T (Injector + <code>Detector</code>) = 250 °C. Splitflow = 80 mL/min. Precolumn pressure = 0.8 bar. Conditions: 140 °C isothermal. Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 8.2$  min;  $t_{R,2}$  = 8.5 min. The analytical data were in accordance with the literature. $\real^{112}$ 

2-Hydroxycyclohexyl isobutyrate (2b), 2-Hydroxycyclohexyl pivalate (2c), and 2-Hydroxycyclohexyl benzoate (2d). The GC retention [tim](#page-19-0)es, the proof of the GC retention time, as well as the characterization of 2b, 2c, and 2d can be found in the literature.<sup>66</sup>

tert-Butyl-2-hydroxycyclohexyl carbonate (2e). Enantiomers of the mono-tert-butoxycarbonylated product 2e were separated by [chir](#page-18-0)al GC employing a 30 m FS-Hydrodex β-6TBDM column (Macherey Nagel). T (Injector + Detector) = 250 °C. Splitflow = 80 mL/min. Precolumn pressure = 0.8 bar. Conditions: 100−250 °C, 2 °C/min. Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 27.6$  min;  $t_{R,2} = 27.4$  min. NMR data are in accordance with the literature.<sup>119</sup>

cis-1-Acetoxycyclohexane-2-ol (22). The GC retention times, the availability, as well as the cha[ract](#page-19-0)erization of 22 can be found in the literature.<sup>65,67</sup>

cis-1,2-Diacetoxycyclohexane. The GC retention time, the availabilit[y, as](#page-18-0) well as the characterization of diacetylated 22 can be found in the literature.<sup>65,67</sup>

trans-2-Acetamidocyclohexane-1-ol (23). Enantiomers of alcohol 23 were separated by [chira](#page-18-0)l GC employing a 30 m Chiraldex G-TA column (Astech). T (Injector + Detector) = 250 °C. Splitflow = 80 mL/min. Precolumn pressure = 0.8 bar. Conditions: 130−180 °C, 2  $^{\circ}$ C/min. Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 25.0$  min;  $t_{R,2} = 25.8$  min.

Racemic trans-2-amino-cyclohexan-1-ol hydrochloride (purchased and used without further purification) (0.904 g, 6.0 mmol) was treated with 0.3 M NaOH in ethanol (0.24 g in 20 mL) and stirred for 1 h at rt. Then the precipitate (NaCl) was filtered off. The ethanol was removed in vacuo, and the remaining solid was treated with 30 mL of  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>$  for 10 min. The precipitate was again filtered off, and the removal of the solvent in vacuo gave 0.586 g (5.1 mmol) of trans-2 amino-cyclohexan-1-ol as colorless powder. Analytical data of the trans-2-amino-cyclohexan-1-ol were essentially identical to those reported in the literature.  $\real^{113}$ 

Racemic trans-2-amino-cyclohexan-1-ol (0.691 g, 6.0 mmol) was treated with acetic anhy[drid](#page-19-0)e (742  $\mu$ L, 8 mmol) in the presence of DMAP (0.147 g, 1.2 mmol) in 25 mL of  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>$  and the resulting solution was stirred for 8 h at rt (25 °C). CHCl<sub>3</sub> was then removed in vacuo, and the monoacetylated product  $((\pm)$ -23) was purified by silica flash gel chromatography (EtOAc/MeOH 75:25,  $R_f$  (23) = 0.44). Isolated racemic  $(\pm)$ -23 (0.359 g, 2.3 mmol) was characterized and then subjected to the GC assay described above to prove the origin of the GC signals. Analytical data of the monoacetylated amino alcohol 23 were essentially identical to those reported in the literature. $47,114$ 

trans-1-Acetoxy-2-acetamidocyclohexane. Retention times:  $t_{R,1}$  = 19.5 min;  $t_{R,2}$  = 19.8 min. Analytical data of the diacetylated [am](#page-18-0)[ino](#page-19-0) alcohol were essentially identical to those reported in literature.<sup>47,114</sup>

1-Phenylethanol (24). Racemic 1-phenylethanol 24 was purchased and used without further purification. Enantiomers of alcohol 24 [w](#page-18-0)[ere](#page-19-0) separated by chiral GC employing a 30 m FS-Hydrodex  $β$ -6TBDM column (Macherey Nagel). T (Injector + Detector) = 250 °C. Splitflow = 80 mL/min. Precolumn pressure = 0.8 bar. Conditions: 100−135 °C, 1 °C/min. Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 18.6$  min;  $t_{R,2} = 19.5$ min.

1-Phenyl-1-acetoxy-ethane. Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 13.1$  min;  $t_{R,2} =$ 14.7 min. The racemic acylated compound was purchased and used to prove the GC retention times.

exo-Bicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-ol (exo-norborneol) (25). Racemic bicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-ol 25 was purchased and used without further purification. Enantiomers of alcohol 25 were separated by chiral GC employing a 30 m FS-Hydrodex β-6TBDM column (Macherey Nagel). T (Injector + Detector) = 250 °C. Splitflow = 80 mL/min. Precolumn pressure = 0.8 bar. Conditions: 80−160 °C, 2 °C/min. Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 20.9$  min;  $t_{R,2} = 21.3$  min.

exo-2-Acetoxy-bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane. Enantiomers of the acetate of 25 were not separated by chiral GC under these conditions. Retention time:  $t<sub>R</sub> = 16.8$ . The product was not isolated; a mixture of monoacylated and diacylated product were synthesized via DMAP catalysis. The products were not separated because the GC signals could clearly be allocated.

2-Hydroxycyclohexanone (26). 2-Hydroxycyclohexanone 26 was purchased as dimer (in solution the racemic monomer is formed) and used without further purification. Enantiomers of alcohol 26 were separated by chiral GC employing a 30 m FS-Hydrodex  $\beta$ -6TBDM column (Macherey Nagel). T (Injector + Detector) = 250 °C. Splitflow = 80 mL/min. Precolumn pressure = 0.8 bar. Conditions: 80−160 °C, 2 °C/min. Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 8.1$  min;  $t_{R,2} = 8.4$  min.

2-Acetoxycyclohexanone. The GC retention times as well as the characterization can be found in the literature.<sup>6</sup>

2-Oxiranylmethanol (27). Racemic 2-oxiranylmethanol 27 was purchased and used without further purific[ati](#page-18-0)on. Enantiomers of alcohol 27 were separated by chiral GC employing a 30 m FS-Hydrodex γ-TBDAc column (Macherey Nagel). T (Injector + Detector) = 250 °C. Splitflow = 80 mL/min. Precolumn pressure = 0.8 bar. Conditions: 60 °C isothermal for 3 min; then 60−100 °C, 2  $^{\circ}$ C/min. Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 10.8$  min;  $t_{R,2} = 10.9$  min.

2-Oxiranylmethyl acetate. Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 17.1$  min;  $t_{R,2} =$ 17.5 min. Analytical data and synthesis of the acetate were identical to those reported in the literature.<sup>115</sup>

cis-Cyclohexane-1,2-diol (28). Alcohol 28 is commercially available. The GC retention ti[me](#page-19-0) and characterization of 28 can be found in the literature.  $65,67$ 

trans-Octane-4,5-diol (29). Enantiomers of 29 were separated by chiral GC employin[g](#page-18-0) [a](#page-18-0) 30 m FS-Hydrodex β-TBDAc column (Macherey Nagel). T (Injector + Detector) = 250 °C. Splitflow = 80 mL/min. Precolumn pressure = 0.8 bar. Conditions: 100 °C−160 °C, 2 °C/min. Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 21.1$  min;  $t_{R,2} = 21.4$  min. Synthesis of the alcohol: To a mixture of 4-octene oxide (1 mmol, 126 mg) in toluene (100  $\mu$ L), trifluoroacetic acid (1 mmol, 77  $\mu$ L) was added. After stirring for 24 h at rt, water  $(2 \text{ mmol}, 36 \mu L, 2.0 \text{ equiv})$ and DIPEA (5.3 mmol, 0.9 mL, 5.3 equiv) were added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for additional 24 h. Chromatography on silica gel in EtOAc as mobile phase afforded diol  $(\pm)$ -29. Analytical data of the diol  $((\pm)$ -29) were identical to those reported in the literature.<sup>116</sup>

trans-4-Acetoxyoctane-5-ol. Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 15.6$  min;  $t_{R,2} =$ 16.2 min[. R](#page-19-0)acemic *trans*-octane-3,4-diol  $((\pm)$ -29) (0.3 mmol) was treated with acetic anhydride  $(37 \mu L, 0.4 \text{ mmol})$  in the presence of DMAP (7.3 mg, 0.06 mmol) in 2 mL of dichloromethane, and the resulting solution was stirred for 3 h at rt (25 °C). Dichloromethane was then removed in vacuo, and the monoacylated product was purified by silica flash gel chromatography (EtOAc). Isolated racemic acetylated 29 was analytically characterized and then subjected to the GC assay described above to prove the origin of the GC signals. Analytical data of the monoacylated product were identical to those reported in the literature.  $\real^{117}$ 

trans-Cyclohexane-1,3-diol (30). Racemic trans-cyclohexane-1,3 diol 30 was purchase[d a](#page-19-0)nd used without further purification. Enantiomers of diol 30 were separated by chiral GC employing a 30 m FS-Hydrodex β-6TBDM column (Macherey Nagel). T (Injector + Detector) =  $250$  °C. Splitflow =  $80$  mL/min. Precolumn pressure =  $0.8$ bar. Conditions: 60 °C isothermal for 2 min; then 60−140 °C, 1 °C/ min. Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 53.5$  min;  $t_{R,2} = 54.3$  min.

trans-3-Cyclohexane-1-ol. Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 51.1$  min;  $t_{R,2} =$ 51.9 min. trans-Diol 30 (0.118 g, 1.0 mmol) was treated with acetic anhydride (95  $\mu$ L, 1.0 mmol) in the presence of DMAP (0.019 g, 0.15 mmol) in 10 mL of DCM, and the resulting solution was stirred overnight at rt (25 °C). DCM was then removed in vacuo, and the monoacetylated product was purified by silica flash gel chromatography (EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.46$ ). Isolated racemic monoacetylated 30 (0.082 g, 0.7 mmol; 70%) was characterized and then subjected to the GC assay described above to prove the origin of the GC signals.<sup>118</sup> Additionally 0.035 g of the diacylated diol (EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.63$ ; 18 mmol; 18%) were obtained. The NMR data are in accordance with [the](#page-19-0) literature.<sup>118</sup>

[1,1′-Binaphthalene]-2,2′-diol (Binaphtol) (31). Racemic [1,1′ binaphth[alen](#page-19-0)e]-2,2′-diol (Binaphtol) (31) was purchased and used without further purification. Enantiomers of diol 31 were separated by using HPLC employing a 25 cm,  $d = 0.46$  cm Chiralpak IB column (Daicel). Eluent: Hexane/Isopropanol 95:5; flow = 1 mL/min; UVdetector  $\lambda = 254$  nm. Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 32.7$  min;  $t_{R,2} = 35.0$  min.

2'-Hydroxy-[1,1'-binaphthalen]-2-yl acetate. Retention times:  $t_{R,1}$ = 14.1 min;  $t_{R,2}$  = 16.0 min. Monoacetylated 31 was not isolated; a mixture of monoacylated and diacylated product was synthesized via DMAP catalysis. The products were not separated because the HPLCsignals could clearly be allocated.

Octahydro-1,5,4-(epipropane[1,1,3]triyl)pentalene-2,8-diol (32). Racemic 32 was purchased from the University Lodz and used without further purification. Enantiomers of diol 32 were separated by chiral GC employing a 30 m FS-Hydrodex β-6TBDM column (Macherey Nagel). T (Injector + Detector) = 250 °C. Splitflow = 80 mL/min. Precolumn pressure = 0.8 bar. Conditions: 180 °C isothermal. Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 35.8$  min;  $t_{R,2} = 37.9$  min.

8-Hydroxyoctahydro-1,5,4-(epipropane[1,1,3]triyl)pentalen-2-yl *acetate.* Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 20.7$  min;  $t_{R,2} = 21.4$  min. Racemic diol 32 (0.089 g, 0.5 mmol) was treated with acetic anhydride (66  $\mu$ L, 0.7 mmol) in the presence of DMAP (0.012 g, 0.1 mmol) in 20 mL of Et<sub>2</sub>O, and the resulting solution was stirred overnight at rt (25 °C).  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$  was then removed in vacuo, and the monoacetylated product was purified by silica flash gel chromatography (EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.49$ ). Isolated racemic monoacetylated product (0.051 g, 0.23 mmol; 46%) was characterized and then subjected to the GC assay described above to prove the origin of the GC signals.  $^1\text{H NMR}$  (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta/$ ppm =  $4.71-4.68$  [m, 1 H];  $4.68-4.65$  [m, 1 H];  $2.81-2.76$  [m, 1 H]; 2.76−2.71 [m, 2 H]; 2.60−2.46 [m, 3 H]; 2.38−2.33 [m, 2 H]; 2.04 [s, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>]; 1.73 [d, 1 H, J = 10.6 Hz]; 1.37 [bs, 1 H, OH]; 1.34 [d, 1 H, J = 11.0 Hz]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 170.3  $(C=0)$ ; 74.5; 73.1; 48.9; 44.5; 44.1; 43.0; 42.1; 40.6; 38.8; 36.2; 34.9; 21.4. HRMS (EI-sector field)  $m/z$ :  $[M]^+$  calcd for  $C_{13}H_{16}O_3$ <sup>\*</sup> 220.109, found 220.109. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{\nu}/\text{cm}^{-1}$  = 3320; 2974; 2954; 2864; 1740; 1730; 1370; 1276; 1249; 1238; 1095; 1043.

Octahydro-1H-2,4,1-(epiethane[1,1,2]triyl)cyclobuta[cd] pentalene-5,7-diyl diacetate. Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 17.0$  min;  $t_{R,2} =$ 17.3 min. Racemic diol 32 (0.089 g, 0.5 mmol) was treated with acetic anhydride (66  $\mu$ L, 0.7 mmol) in the presence of DMAP (0.012 g, 0.1) mmol) in 20 mL of  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$ , and the resulting solution was stirred overnight at rt (25 °C). Et<sub>2</sub>O was then removed in vacuo, and the diacetylated product was purified by silica flash gel chromatography (EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.58$ ). Isolated racemic diacetylated 32 (0.020 g, 0.08 mmol; 16%) was characterized and then subjected to the GC assay described above to prove the origin of the GC signals. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 5.52 [s, 1 H]; 4.64 [t, 1 H, J = 3.9 Hz]; 2.79– 2.74 [m, 1 H]; 2.68−2.64 [m, 1 H]; 2.62−2.57 [m, 2 H]; 2.50−2.43 [m, 2 H]; 2.41−2.36 [m, 1 H]; 2.33−2.88 [m, 1 H]; 2.01 [s, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>]; 1.92 [s, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>]; 1.65 [d, 1 H, J = 10.8 Hz]; 1.26 [d, 1 H, J = 10.7 Hz]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 170.8 (C=O); 170.8 (C=O); 77.3; 74.1; 46.3; 45.1; 44.3; 43.0; 40.9; 39.5; 38.9; 36.4; 35.0; 21.5; 21.5. HRMS (EI-sector field)  $m/z$ :  $[M]^{+}$  calcd for  $C_{15}H_{18}O_4^{\bullet+}$  262.121, found 262.122. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{\nu}/\text{cm}^{-1}$  = 2973; 2867; 1740; 1377; 1363; 1275; 1238; 1100; 1047; 1016.

Octahydro-1H-2,4,1-(epiethane[1,1,2]triyl)cyclobuta[cd] pentalene-5,7-diol (33). meso-33 was purchased from the University Lodz and used without further purification. Achiral diol 33 was separated from the other compounds of the reaction mixture using chiral GC employing a 30 m FS-Hydrodex β-6TBDM column (Macherey Nagel). T (Injector + Detector) = 250 °C. Splitflow = 80

mL/min. Precolumn pressure = 0.8 bar. Conditions: 100−250 °C, 5 °C/min. Retention time:  $t<sub>R</sub>$  = 28.4 min.

7-Hydroxyoctahydro-1H-2,4,1-(epiethane[1,1,2]triyl)cyclobuta- [cd]pentalen-5-yl acetate. Enantiomers of the monoacetate of 33 were separated by chiral GC employing a 30 m FS-Hydrodex β-6TBDM column (Macherey Nagel). T (Injector + Detector) = 250  $°C.$  Splitflow = 80 mL/min. Precolumn pressure = 0.8 bar. Conditions: 140 °C isothermal for 55 min; then 140−250 °C, 20 °C/min and then 250 °C for 5 min. Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 58.9$  min;  $t_{R,2} = 59.1$  min. Preparation: meso-Diol 33 (0.089 g, 0.5 mmol) was treated with acetic anhydride (66  $\mu$ L, 0.7 mmol) in the presence of DMAP (0.012 g, 0.1 mmol) in 20 mL of  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$ , and the resulting solution was stirred overnight at rt (25 °C). Et<sub>2</sub>O was then removed in vacuo, and the monoacetylated product was purified by silica flash gel chromatography (EtOAc/hexane 1:1,  $R_f = 0.30$ ). Isolated racemic monoacetylated 33 (0.099 g, 0.45 mmol; 90%) was characterized and then subjected to the GC assay described above to prove the origin of the GC signals. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 4.89 [t, 1 H, J = 3.8 Hz]; 4.18 [d, 1 H, J = 12.4 Hz]; 3.76 [td, 1 H, J<sub>1</sub> = 12.4 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 3.4 Hz]; 2.84−2.76 [m, 1 H]; 2.72−2.58 [m, 3 H]; 2.53−2.47 [m, 1 H]; 2.45−2.33 [m, 3 H]; 2.11 [s, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>]; 1.69 [d, 1 H, J = 10.7 Hz]; 1.11 [d, 1 H, J = 10.8 Hz]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 169.3 (C=O); 73.0; 72.0; 45.4; 43.0; 43.0; 42.5; 39.7; 39.2; 38.1; 35.6; 34.3; 21.4. HRMS (EI-sector field) m/z: [M]+ calcd for  $C_{13}H_{16}O_3$ <sup>\*</sup> 220.110, found 220.110. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{\nu}/\text{cm}^{-1}$  = 3545; 2964; 2864; 1744; 1436; 1368; 1311; 1268; 1224; 1150; 1098; 1077; 1038.

7-Hydroxyoctahydro-1H-2,4,1-(epiethane[1,1,2]triyl)cyclobuta- [cd]pentalen-5-yl diacetate. The achiral diacetate of 33 was separated from the other compounds of the reaction mixture using chiral GC employing a 30 m FS-Hydrodex β-6TBDM column (Macherey Nagel). T (Injector + Detector) = 250 °C. Splitflow = 80 mL/min. Precolumn pressure = 0.8 bar. Conditions: 100−250 °C, 5 °C/min. Retention time:  $t<sub>R</sub> = 25.7$  min. Preparation: meso-Diol 33 (0.089 g, 0.5) mmol) was treated with acetic anhydride (66  $\mu$ L, 0.7 mmol) in the presence of DMAP (0.012 g, 0.1 mmol) in 20 mL of  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$ , and the resulting solution was stirred overnight at rt  $(25 °C)$ . Et<sub>2</sub>O was then removed in vacuo, and the diacetylated product was purified by silica flash gel chromatography (EtOAc/hexane 1:1,  $R_f = 0.41$ ). Isolated diacetylated 33 (0.010 g, (0.04 mmol; 8%) was characterized and then subjected to the GC assay described above to prove the origin of the GC signals. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 4.58–4.53 [m, 2 H]; 2.82−2.71 [m, 2 H]; 2.62−2.51 [m, 2 H]; 2.45 [s, 2 H]; 2.39− 2.30 [m, 2 H]; 2.00 [s, 6 H, CH<sub>3</sub>]; 1.62 [d, 1 H, J = 10.8 Hz]; 1.06 [d, 1 H,  $J = 10.8$  Hz]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 171.1 (C=O); 72.2; 43.0; 42.2; 39.2; 35.3; 34.1; 21.6. HRMS (EI-sector field)  $m/z$ : [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{15}H_{18}O_4^{\bullet+}$  262.121, found 262.124. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{\nu}/\text{cm}^{-1}$  = 2969; 2942; 2927; 2858; 1728; 1449; 1438; 1374; 1366; 1305; 1269; 1245; 1165; 1095; 1076; 1067; 1053; 1017.

1,4,4a,5,8,8a-Hexahydro-1,4-methanonaphthalene-5,8-diol (34). meso-34 was purchased from the University Lodz and used without further purification. Achiral diol 34 was separated from the other compounds of the reaction mixture using chiral GC employing a 30 m FS-Hydrodex β-6TBDM column (Macherey Nagel). T (Injector + Detector) =  $250$  °C. Splitflow =  $80$  mL/min. Precolumn pressure =  $0.8$ bar. Conditions: 100−250 °C, 5 °C/min. Retention time:  $t<sub>R</sub>$  = 23.1 min.

8-Hydroxy-1,4,4a,5,8,8a-hexahydro-1,4-methanonaphthalen-5 yl acetate. Retention times:  $t_{R,1}$  = 22.5 min;  $t_{R,2}$  = 22.7 min. Preparation: meso-Diol 34 (0.089 g, 0.5 mmol) was treated with acetic anhydride (66  $\mu$ L, 0.7 mmol) in the presence of DMAP (0.012 g, 0.1 mmol) in 20 mL of  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$ , and the resulting solution was stirred overnight at rt (25 °C). Et<sub>2</sub>O was then removed in vacuo, and the monoacetylated product was purified by silica flash gel chromatography (EtOAc/hexane 1:1,  $R_f = 0.29$ ). Isolated racemic monoacetylated 34 (0.037 g, 0.18 mmol; 36%) was characterized and then subjected to the GC assay described above to prove the origin of the GC signals. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 5.88 [dd, 1 H, J<sub>1</sub>  $= 5.5$  Hz,  $J_2 = 2.8$  Hz]; 5.81 [dd, 1 H,  $J_1 = 5.5$  Hz,  $J_2 = 2.8$  Hz]; 5.49− 5.43 [m, 1 H]; 5.40−5.34 [m, 1 H]; 5.32−5.26 [m, 1 H]; 4.52−4.43 [m, 1 H]; 3.09−2.98 [m, 2 H]; 2.89−2.80 [m, 2 H]; 2.12 [s, 3 H,

CH<sub>3</sub>]; 1.90 [s, 1 H]; 1.39–1.27 [m, 2 H]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 170.8 (C=O); 135.6; 135.5; 132.1; 126.9; 69.8; 66.5; 48.9; 45.7; 45.0; 41.8; 38.5; 21.1. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na<sup>+</sup> 243.0992, found 243.0992. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{\nu}$ /  $cm^{-1}$  = 3451; 2972; 1739; 1669; 1373; 1243; 1033.

1,4,4a,5,8,8a-Hexahydro-1,4-methanonaphthalene-5,8-diyl diacetate. Retention time:  $t<sub>R</sub> = 22.4$  min. Preparation: meso-Diol 34 (0.089) g, 0.5 mmol) was treated with acetic anhydride (66  $\mu$ L, 0.7 mmol) in the presence of DMAP (0.012 g, 0.1 mmol) in 20 mL of  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$ , and the resulting solution was stirred overnight at rt (25 °C). Et<sub>2</sub>O was then removed in vacuo, and the diacetylated product was purified by silica flash gel chromatography (EtOAc/hexane 1:1,  $R_f = 0.49$ ). Isolated diacetylated 34 (0.055 g, 0.21 mmol; 42%) was characterized and then subjected to the GC assay described above to prove the origin of the GC signals. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 5.86–5.82 [m, 2 H]; 5.44−5.34 [m, 4 H]; 3.11−3.02 [m, 2 H]; 2.88−2.82 [m, 2 H]; 2.14 [s, 6 H, CH<sub>3</sub>]; 1.31 [q, 2 H, J = 8.7 Hz]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 170.6 (C=O); 135.5; 128.0; 69.4; 48.4; 45.8; 38.0; 21.1. HRMS (EI-sector field)  $m/z$ : [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{15}H_{18}O_4$ <sup>\*\*</sup> 262.121, found 262.122. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{\nu}/\text{cm}^{-1}$  = 2979; 2967; 2934; 2882; 1743; 1371; 1304; 1244; 1227; 1366; 1248; 1169.

Cyclopent-4-ene-1,3-diol (35). meso-Cyclopent-4-ene-1,3-diol 35 was purchased and used without further purification. Achiral diol 35 was separated from the other compounds of the reaction mixture using chiral GC employing a 30 m Chiraldex G-TA column (Astech). T (Injector + Detector) = 250 °C. Splitflow = 80 mL/min. Precolumn pressure = 0.8 bar. Conditions: 100−180 °C, 2 °C/min. Retention times:  $t<sub>R</sub> = 13.0$ .

4-Hydroxycyclopent-2-en-1-yl acetate. Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 13.7$ min;  $t_{R,2} = 14.2$  min. Preparation: meso-Cyclopent-4-ene-1,3-diol 35 (0.150 g, 1.5 mmol) was treated with acetic anhydride (186  $\mu$ L, 2 mmol) in the presence of DMAP (0.018 g, 0.15 mmol) in 20 mL of dichloromethane, and the resulting solution was stirred overnight at rt (25 °C). Dichloromethane was then removed in vacuo, and the monoacetylated product was purified by silica flash gel chromatography (EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.47$ ). Isolated racemic monoacetylated 35 (0.160) g, 1.1 mmol) was characterized and then subjected to the GC assay described above to prove the origin of the GC signals.  $^1{\rm H}$  NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 6.13–6.10 [m, 1 H]; 6.00–5.97 [m, 1 H]; 5.52−5.47 [m, 1 H]; 4.75−4.70 [m, 1 H]; 2.81 [td, 1 H,  $J_1$  = 14.6 Hz,  $J_2$  = 7.3 Hz]; 2.20 [bs, 1 H, OH]; 2.06 [s, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>]; 1.66 [td, 1 H,  $J_1$  $= 14.6$  Hz,  $J_2 = 3.9$  Hz]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 170.8 (C=O); 138.5; 132.5; 77.1; 74.8; 40.5; 21.2. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{v}/cm^{-1}$ = 3434; 3084; 2941; 1723; 1403; 1375; 1244; 1189; 1103; 1034. Analytical data of monoacetylated 35 differ slightly from those reported in the literature.<sup>119</sup>

Cyclopent-4-ene-1,3-diyl diacetate. Retention time:  $t<sub>R</sub> = 14.8$ . Preparation: meso-Cyclop[ent](#page-19-0)-4-ene-1,3-diol 35 (0.150 g, 1.5 mmol) was treated with acetic anhydride (186  $\mu$ L, 2 mmol) in the presence of DMAP (0.018 g, 0.15 mmol) in 20 mL of dichloromethane, and the resulting solution was stirred overnight at rt (25 °C). DCM was then removed in vacuo, and the diacetylated product was purified by silica flash gel chromatography (EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.58$ ). The isolated diacetate of 35 (0.063 g, 0.3 mmol; 20%) was characterized and then subjected to the GC assay described above to prove the origin of the GC signals. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 6.09 [d, 2 H, J = 0.5 Hz]; 5.55 [ddd, 2 H,  $J_1$  = 7.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 3.9 Hz,  $J_3$  = 0.7 Hz]; 2.88 [td, 1 H,  $J_1$  = 15.0 Hz,  $J_2$  = 7.5 Hz], 2.06 [s, 6 H, CH<sub>3</sub>]; 1.74 [td, 1 H,  $J_1$  = 15.0 Hz,  $J_2 = 3.8 \text{ Hz}$ ]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta/\text{ppm} = 170.6 \text{ (C=O)}$ ; 134.6; 76.6; 37.1; 21.1. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $\rm C_9H_{12}O_4Na^+$  207.0628, found 207.0624. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{\nu}/\rm cm^{-1}$  = 2951; 1737; 1435; 1366; 1329; 1233; 1194; 1076; 1020.

(Tetrahydrofuran-2,5-diyl)dimethanol (36).<sup>83</sup> meso-36 was prepared by the group of Christian Stark (University of Leipzig, now at the University of Hamburg, Germany) and [us](#page-18-0)ed without further purification. Achiral diol 36 was separated from the other compounds of the reaction mixture using chiral GC employing a 30 m Chiraldex G-TA column (Astech). T (Injector + Detector) = 250 °C. Splitflow = 80 mL/min. Precolumn pressure = 0.8 bar. Conditions: 100−180 °C, 2 °C/min. Retention time:  $t<sub>R</sub>$  = 23.9 min.

(5-(Hydroxymethyl)tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methyl acetate. Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 22.3$  min;  $t_{R,2} = 23.0$  min. Preparation: meso-Diol 36 (0.066 g, 0.5 mmol) was treated with acetic anhydride (71  $\mu$ L, 0.75 mmol) in the presence of DMAP (0.005 g, 0.0375 mmol) in 5 mL of DCM, and the resulting solution was stirred overnight at rt (25 °C). DCM was then removed in vacuo, and the monoacetylated product was purified by silica flash gel chromatography (EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.26$ ). Isolated racemic monoacetylated 36 (0.053 g, 0.31 mmol; 62%) was characterized and then subjected to the GC assay described above to prove the origin of the GC signals. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta/$ ppm = 4.20−4.00 [m, 4 H]; 3.72−3.63 [m, 1 H]; 3.49−3.39 [m, 1 H]; 2.44 [bs, 1 H]; 2.04 [s, 3 H, CH3]; 2.01−1.73 [m, 3 H]; 1.71−1.60 [m, 1 H]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 171.2 (C=O); 80.5; 77.3; 66.6; 64.5; 28.0; 26.7; 20.9. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{\nu}/\text{cm}^{-1} = 3461$ ; 2948; 1774; 1741; 1420; 1372; 1237; 1187; 1050. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z:  $[M + Na]^{+}$  calcd for  $C_8H_{14}O_4Na^{+}$  197.0784, found 197.0784.

(Tetrahydrofuran-2,5-diyl)bis(methylene) diacetate. Retention time:  $t<sub>R</sub> = 28.0$  min. Preparation: meso-Diol 36 (0.066 g, 0.5 mmol) was treated with acetic anhydride (71  $\mu$ L, 0.75 mmol) in the presence of DMAP (0.005 g, 0.0375 mmol) in 5 mL of DCM, and the resulting solution was stirred overnight at rt  $(25 °C)$ . DCM was then removed in vacuo, and the diacetylated product was purified by silica flash gel chromatography (EtOAc,  $R_f = 0.51$ ). Isolated diacetylated 36 (0.012 g, 0.06 mmol; 12%) was characterized and then subjected to the GC assay described above to prove the origin of the GC signals.  $^1{\rm H}$  NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 4.18–4.07 [m, 4 H]; 3.98–3.90 [m, 2 H]; 2.03 [s, 6 H, CH<sub>3</sub>]; 2.00–1.90 [m, 2 H]; 1.72–1.59 [m, 2 H]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 171.0 (C=O); 77.5; 66.5; 27.7; 20.9. HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$ :  $[M + Na]^+$  calcd for  $C_{10}H_{16}O_5Na^+$ 239.0890, found 239.0885. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{\nu}/\text{cm}^{-1}$  = 2953; 2884; 1742; 1452; 1371; 1238; 1098; 1042.

Substrate  $(37)$ . The diol 37 was synthesized by Y. Landais.<sup>84</sup> Enantiomers of diol 37 were separated by HPLC employing a 25 cm, d = 0.46 cm Chiralpak IC column (Daicel). Eluent: Hexane/Isopropan[ol](#page-18-0) 93:7; flow = 1 mL/min; UV-detector  $\lambda$  = 254 nm. Retention times:  $t_{R,1}$  $= 15.87$  min;  $t_{R,2} = 17.48$  min.

Substrate (38). Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 12.72$  min;  $t_{R,2} = 21.29$  min. Substrate (39). Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 23.44$  min;  $t_{R,2} = 37.84$  min. Substrate (40). Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 19.43$  min;  $t_{R,2} = 29.25$  min.

The analytical data for 37 and 40 were in accordance with the literature.<sup>84</sup> Preparation: Racemic diol 37 (0.043 g, 0.11 mmol) was treated with acetic anhydride (11.5  $\mu$ L, 0.12 mmol) in the presence of DMAP ([2.7](#page-18-0) mg, 0.02 mmol) in 7 mL of DCM, and the resulting solution was stirred for 2 h at rt (25  $^{\circ}$ C). DCM was then removed in vacuo, and the monoacetylated products  $(\pm)$ -38,  $(\pm)$ -39 and the diacylated product 40 were purified by silica flash gel chromatography (EtOAc/hexane (1:1),  $R_f$  (38) and (39) = 0.2;  $R_f$  (40) = 0.3. Isolated racemic  $(\pm)$ -38 and  $(\pm)$ -39 (0.026 mg, 0.06 mmol; 54%; colorless solid) and 40 (0.014 g, 0.03 mmol; 27%; colorless solid) were characterized and then subjected to the HPLC assay described above to prove the origin of the signals. NMR data for 38 and 39 are as follows. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 7.56–7.50 [m, 2 H]; 7.33–7.26 [m, 3 H]; 6.38–6.25 [m, 2 H]; 5.50 [t, 1 H,  $J_3$  = 4.0 Hz]; 4.88−4.82 [m, 1 H]; 4.64−4.56 [m, 1 H]; 4.23 [bs, 1 H]; 2.04 [s, 3 H]; 1.99 [s, 1 H]; 1.62 [q, 1 H,  $J_3$  = 2.5 Hz]; 1.41 [s, 9 H]; 0.42 [s, 3 H]; 0.40 [s, 3 H]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 169.6 (C= O); 155.1 (C=O); 137.1; 134.2; 134.0; 129.3: 127.4; 82.1; 75.0; 73.6; 68.6; 54.8; 38.6; 28.2; 21.0; −2.9; −3.7.

Procedure for the Competitive Catalytic Run with Alcohols 1, 41, 42, and 43. The conditions for the kinetic resolutions for the competitive catalytic runs are given exemplary by the following experimental protocol. Catalyst 12b (1.9 mg, 0.0025 mmol) was dissolved in 500  $\mu$ L of dry toluene. 100  $\mu$ L of this catalyst solution (0.0005 mmol, 2 mol %) were added to a clear solution of the alcohols 1, 41, 42, and 43 (0.025 mmol of each alcohol) in 4.65 mL of dry toluene. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C, and 25  $\mu$ L (0.1325) mmol, 5.3 equiv of acetic anhydride) of a solution of 100  $\mu$ L of acetic anhydride in 100  $\mu$ L of toluene (cooled to 0 °C) were then added with an Eppendorf pipette and allowed to stir at 0  $^{\circ}$ C. After the reaction, the reaction mixture was quenched with methanol and directly

analyzed by GC and/or chiral GC analysis. The same conditions were used for the competitive catalytic run with DMAP (0.0025 mmol) as catalyst (for the chromatograms, see the Supporting Information). All signals were detected by GC-FID employing a 30 m 5890\_V UP5 (Machery Nagel). T (Injector + Detector) = 250 °C. Splitflow = 80 mL/min. Precolumn pressure = 0.8 bar. [Conditions:](#page-17-0) [60](#page-17-0) °C−250 °C, 15 °C/min. Retention times: 1  $t_R$  = 6.69 min; 41 R= 6.33 min; 42  $t_R$  = 1.44 min; 43  $t_R$  = 4.09 min; 2  $t_R$  = 8.57 min; 44  $t_R$  = 8.02 min; 45  $t_R$  = 2.21 min; 46  $t_R$  = 2.37 (chromatograms for the 12b-catalyzed run can be found in the Supporting Information).

 $(3a,7a)$ -Hexahydrobenzo-1,3-dioxo-2-one  $(47)$ .<sup>108</sup> Enantiomers of the cyclic carbonate 47 were separated by chiral GC employing a 30 m FS-Hydrodex β-[6TBDM](#page-17-0) [column](#page-17-0) [\(Mach](#page-17-0)erey Na[gel\).](#page-19-0) T (Injector + Detector) = 250 °C. Splitflow = 80 mL/min. Precolumn pressure =  $0.8$ bar. Conditions: 100−250 °C, 2 °C/min. Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 29.5$ min;  $t_{R2} = 29.7$  min. Preparation: trans-Diol 1 (0.50 g, 4.3 mmol) was treated with  $Boc<sub>2</sub>O$  (2.94 mL, 12.9 mmol) in the presence of DMAP (0.52 g, 4.3 mmol) in 10 mL of dry acetonitrile, and the resulting solution was stirred overnight at rt (25 °C). Acetonitrile was then removed in vacuo, and the O,O-di-tert-butoxylated product  $((\pm)$ -48) and the cyclic carbonate  $((\pm)$ -47) were purified by silica flash gel chromatography (hexane/EtOAc (3:1),  $R_f$  (48) = 0.52;  $R_f$  (47) = 0.26). Isolated racemic  $(\pm)$ -47 (0.421 g, 3.0 mmol) and  $(\pm)$ -48 (0.145) mg, 0.46 mmol) were characterized and then subjected to the GC assay to prove the origin of the GC signals. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ/ppm = 3.96 [m, 2 H], 2.19 [m, 2 H], 1.92−1.80 [m, 2 H], 1.69−1.55 [m, 2 H], 1.42−1.29 [m, 2 H]. 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 155.1 (C=O), 83.5, 28.2, 23.2

tert-Butylcyclohexane-1,2-diyl dicarbonate (48). Enantiomers of the di-tert-butoxycarbonylated product 48 were not separated by chiral GC employing a 30 m FS-Hydrodex β-6TBDM column (Macherey Nagel). T (Injector + Detector) = 250 °C. Splitflow = 80 mL/min. Precolumn pressure = 0.8 bar. Conditions: 100−250 °C, 2 °C/min. Retention time:  $t_{R,1}$  = 39.6 min. Preparation using DMAP as catalyst: *trans-Diol* 1 (0.58 g, 5.0 mmol) was treated with  $Boc<sub>2</sub>O$  (1.26 mL, 5.5) mmol) in the presence of DMAP (0.182 g, 1.5 mmol) in 100 mL of dry toluene, and the resulting solution was stirred overnight at rt (25  $^{\circ}$ C). Toluene was then removed in vacuo, and the *O-tert-*butoxylated product  $((\pm)$ -2e), the O,O-di-tert-butoxylated product  $((\pm)$ -48) and the cyclic carbonate  $((\pm)$ -47) were purified by silica flash gel chromatography (DCM/MeOH (19:1),  $R_f$  (48) = 0.81;  $R_f$  (47) = 0.71;  $R_f$  (2e) = 0.62). Isolated racemic ( $\pm$ )-2e (0.842 g, 3.9 mmol, 78%) and  $(\pm)$ -48 (0.126 mg, 0.4 mmol, 8%) were characterized and then subjected to the GC assay described to prove the origin of the GC signals.  $(\pm)$ -87 could just be isolated in traces and was therefore synthesized using different reaction conditions. The NMR data for  $(\pm)$ -2e and  $(\pm)$ -48 are in accordance with the literature.<sup>108</sup> Preparation using N-methylimidazole as catalyst: trans-Diol 1 (0.58 g, 5.0 mmol) was treated with  $Boc<sub>2</sub>O$  (1.26 mL, 5.5 mmol) in [the](#page-19-0) presence of N-methylimidazole (123.2  $\mu$ L, 1.5 mmol) in 100 mL of dry toluene, and the resulting solution was stirred overnight at rt (25 °C). Toluene was then removed in vacuo, and the O-tert-butoxylated product  $((\pm)$ -2e), the O,O-di-tert-butoxylated product  $((\pm)$ -48) and the cyclic carbonate  $((\pm)$ -3) were purified by silica flash gel chromatography (DCM/MeOH (19:1),  $R_f$  (48) = 0.81;  $R_f$  (47) = 0.71;  $R_f$  (2e) = 0.62). Isolated racemic ( $\pm$ )-2e (0.821 g, 3.8 mmol, 76%) and  $(\pm)$ -48 (0.94 g, 0.3 mmol, 6%) were characterized and then subjected to the GC assay described to prove the origin of the GC signals.  $(\pm)$ -47 could just be isolated in traces and was therefore synthesized using different reaction conditions. The NMR data for  $(\pm)$ -2e and  $(\pm)$ -48 are in accordance to the literature.<sup>108</sup>

Description of the Preparative Kinetic Resolution Experiment of  $(\pm)$ -1 with Boc<sub>2</sub>O. Catalyst 12b (38 mg, 0.05 m[mol](#page-19-0), 5 mol %) and diol  $(\pm)$ -1 (116.2 mg, 1 mmol) were dissolved in 160 mL of dry toluene. 0.46 mL (2.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv) of  $Boc<sub>2</sub>O$  was added and then allowed to stir for 48 h at rt. The reaction mixture was quenched with 10 mL of methanol and then filtered using 40 g silica gel suspended with DCM to remove the catalyst. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was directly purified via silica gel column chromatography (DCM/methanol (19:1)). 104.2 mg (0.48 mmol,

48.1%) of 2e ( $R_f$  = 0.62) and 52.1 mg (0.45 mmol, 44%) of 1 ( $R_f$  = 0.71) were isolated and directly characterized by chiral GC and NMR.

General Procedure: Enantioselective Boc-Protection of rac-1. 2.9 mg (0,025 mmol) of rac-1 were dissolved in 4.45 mL of dry toluene. 1, 2, 5, or 10 mol % (0.38 mg, 0.76 mg, 1.9 mg or 3.8 mg) of 12b and 5.74, 11.49, 28.88, or 54.7 μL (0.025 mmol, 0.05 mmol, 0.1325 or 0.25 mmol) of  $Boc<sub>2</sub>O$  were added, and the mixture was stirred at rt. The conversion and ee were determined by chiral GC. A stock solution was prepared: 4 mg 12b in 800  $\mu$ L of dry toluene.

trans-2-Hydroxycyclohexyl-4-nitrobenzenesulfonate (53). Enantiomers of the sulfonylated diol 53 were separated by using HPLC employing a 25 cm,  $d = 0.46$  cm Chiralpak IB column (Daicel). Eluent: Hexane/Isopropanol 90:10; flow = 0.7 mL/min; UV-detector  $\lambda = 254$  nm. Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 27.8$  min;  $t_{R,2} = 31.9$  min.

Sulfonylation of rac-1 Using 12b as Catalyst. 116.2 mg (1.0 mmol) of rac-1, 2 mol % (15.2 mg) of 12b, and 288 mg of 4 nitrobenzenesufonyl chloride were dissolved in 5 mL of dry DCM, and  $2\,$  mL of a saturated  $\,$  NaHCO $_{3} \,$  solution was added. The two-phase system was stirred for 24 h. The products were purified via flash chromatography eluting with ethyl acetate/pentane (3: 1). 42 mg  $(0.13 \text{ mmol}, 14\%)$  of 53  $(R_f = 0.52)$  and 39 mg  $(0.08 \text{ mmol}, 8\%)$  of disulfonylated diol ( $R_f = 0.61$ ) were isolated as yellowish solids. The enantiomeric excess of 1 was determined by chiral GC. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 8.33 [d, J = 12 Hz, 2 H], 8.08 [d, J = 12 Hz, 2 H], 4.36 [m, 1 H], 3.52 [m, 1 H], 1.99 [t,  $J = 12$  Hz, 2 H], 1.85 [s, 2 H], 1.65 [ m, 2 H], 1.43 [m, 1 H], 1.31−1.11 [m, 3 H]. 13C NMR  $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ :  $\delta$ /ppm = 150.3, 142.9, 129.1, 124.4, 87.9, 72.0, 32.6, 31.2, 24.0, 23.3. IR (KBr):  $\nu/cm^{-1} = 3538$ , 2939,1609, 1534, 1351, 1185, 1095, 1076, 981, 926. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{12}H_{15}NO_6SNa^+$  324.0512, found 324.0513.

trans-Cyclohexane-1,2-diyl bis(4-nitrobenzenesulfonate). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 8.29 [d, J = 8 Hz, 4 H], 7.98  $[d, J = 8$  Hz, 4 H], 4.48 [m, 2 H], 2.04−1.94 [m, 2 H], 1.62−1.55 [m, 2 H], 1.52−1.38 [m, 2 H], 1.28−1.12 [m, 2 H]<sup>. 13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 150.8, 142.4, 129.1, 124.5, 81.3, 31.0, 22.6. IR (KBr):  $\nu$ /cm<sup>-1</sup> = 2950, 1610, 1538, 1351, 1186, 1094, 977, 919. HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$ :  $[M + Na]^+$  calcd for  $C_{18}H_{18}N_2O_{10}S_2Na^+$ 509.0295, found 509.0300.

Sulfonylation Test Reactions. 11.6 mg (0,1 mmol) of rac-1 was dissolved in 4.5 mL of dry toluene. 5 mol % (3.8 mg) of 12b, 12.8  $\mu$ L (0.11 mmol) of 2,6-lutidine and 20.96 mg (0.11 mmol) of tosylchloride/21.1 mg (0.11 mmol) of 4-chlorobenzenesulfonyl chloride/ 18.2  $\mu$ L (0.11 mmol) of trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride were added and allowed to stir for 24 h. The conversion was determined by TLC using EtOAc/hexane as eluent.

trans-2-Hydroxycyclohexyl diphenyl phosphate (54-Ph). Enantiomers of 54-Ph were separated by chiral GC employing a 30 m FS-Hydrodex β-6TBDM column (Macherey Nagel). T (Injector + Detector) = 250 °C. Splitflow = 80 mL/min. Precolumn pressure =  $0.8$ bar. Conditions: 140 °C isotherm 13 min; 140−250 °C, 2 °C/min; 250 °C isotherm 15 min. Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 37.5$  min;  $t_{R,2} = 37.9$ min (54-Ph);  $t_{R,1} = 10.4$  min;  $t_{R,2} = 10.9$  min (1). Preparation using DMAP as catalyst: 580 mg (5 mmol) of rac-1, 0.826 mL (5 mmol) of Et3N and 183 mg (1.5 mmol) of DMAP were dissolved in dry toluene. 1.035 mL (5 mmol) of diphenylchlorophosphate were added, and the mixture was stirred for 12 h at rt. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude mixture was purified via silica gel chromatography utilizing ethylacetate/hexane (3:2) as eluent. 578 mg (1.6 mmol, 33.2%;  $R_f = 0.35$ ) of a colorless solid were isolated. Preparation using 12b as catalyst: The same reaction was accomplished with 3 mmol of rac-1 using 22 mg (0.03 mmol) of 12b as catalyst. The reaction was stopped at a conversion of 50%. The crude product was purified by preparative HPLC (eluent: TBME/Hexane 60:40) UVdetector  $\lambda = 254$  nm,  $E_{\text{max}} = 2.56$ ; refractometer; column l = 250 mm,  $d = 8$  mm, LiChrosorb Diol (7  $\mu$ m, Merck); 417 mg (1.2 mmol) of a colorles solid were isolated. The product seems to be sensitive toward acids. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 7.41–7.31 [m, 4 H, H<sub>Ar</sub> (Phe], 7.29–7.17 [m, 6 H, H<sub>Ar</sub> (Phe], 4.34 [m, 1 H, H<sub>a</sub> (OP(OPh)<sub>2</sub>) ], 3.61 [m, 1 H, H<sup>α</sup> (OH)], 2.95 [s, 1 H, OH], 2.17−2.09 [m, 1 H], 2.08−2.00 [m, 1 H], 1.77−1.64 [s, 2 H], 1.49−1.40 [m, 1 H], 1.36−

<span id="page-17-0"></span>1.19  $[m, 3 H]$ . <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 150.6, 129.8, 125.5, 120.1, 85.3, 73.3, 32.4, 31.2, 23.9, 23.5. IR (KBr):  $\nu$ /cm<sup>-1</sup> = 3471.6, 2936.6, 1589.0, 1489.5, 1265.4, 1186.9, 1086.4, 1018.2, 955.4, 774.0. HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$ : [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>21</sub>O<sub>5</sub>PNa<sup>+</sup> 371.1025, found 371.1019.

trans-2-Hydroxycyclohexyl diethyl phosphate (54-Et). Enantiomers of 54-Et were separated by chiral GC employing a 30 m FS-Hydrodex β-6TBDM column (Macherey Nagel). T (Injector + Detector) = 250 °C. Splitflow = 80 mL/min. Precolumn pressure =  $0.8$ bar. Conditions: 140 °C isotherm 13 min; 140−250 °C, 2 °C/min → 250 °C isotherm 15 min. Retention times:  $t_{R,1} = 28.4$  min;  $t_{R,2} = 28.9$ min (54-Ph);  $t_{R,1} = 10.4$  min;  $t_{R,2} = 10.9$  min (1). Preparation using DMAP as catalyst: 290 mg (2.5 mmol) of rac-1, 0.35 mL (2.5 mmol) of DIPEA and 91.6 mg (0.75 mmol) of DMAP were dissolved in dry toluene. 0.36 mL (2.55 mmol) of diethylchlorophosphate were added, and the mixture was stirred for 12 h at rt. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude mixture was purified via  $Al_2O_3$ gel chromatography utilizing acetonitrile as eluent. 425 mg (1.6 mmol, 67%;  $R_f = 0.49$ ) of a colorless liquid were isolated. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 4.13–4.01 [q, 4 H, J = 6.8 Hz, O–CH<sub>2</sub>–R], 4.00−3.96 [m, 1 H, H<sub>α</sub> (OP(OEt)<sub>2</sub>)], 3.63 [s, 1 H, OH], 3.53−3.47  $[m, 1 H, H_\alpha (OH)], 2.14–1.91 [m, 2 H], 1.70–1.58 [m, 2 H], 1.40–1.58$ 1.12 [m, 4 H], 1.32–1.27 [t, 6 H, J = 7.0 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ /ppm = 83.2, 73.5, 64.1, 32.9 31.7, 24.0, 23.6, 16.1. IR  $(Film): \nu/cm^{-1} = 3404.3, 2938.6, 1453.1, 1258.4, 1028.0. HRMS (ESI-$ TOF)  $m/z$ :  $[M + Na]$ <sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>21</sub>O<sub>5</sub>PNa<sup>+</sup> 275.1022, found 275.1019.

Competition Experiment with Different Electrophiles. 2.9 mg  $(0.025 \text{ mmol})$  of trans-cyclohexane-1,2-diol 1, 13.5  $\mu$ L  $(0.1325 \text{ mmol})$ of Ac<sub>2</sub>O, 27 mg (0.1325 mmol) of 4-nitrobenzenesulfonyl chloride, 19  $\mu$ L (0.1325 mmol) of POCl(OEt)<sub>2</sub>, and 80 mg (0.58 mmol) of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> were dissolved in 4.5 mL of abs. toluene, and the mixture was cooled to 0 °C. 2 mol % of peptide 1 was added, and the reaction was monitored via GC and TLC (the sulfonylated product cannot be detected via GC) and chiral GC. For reasons of comparability, the same reaction was performed with 2 mol % of DMAP as catalyst. All signals were detected by GC-FID employing a 30 m 5890\_V UP5 (Machery Nagel). T (Injector + Detector) = 250 °C. Splitflow = 80 mL/min. Precolumn pressure = 0.8 bar. Conditions: 100 °C−250 °C, 15 °C/min. Retention times: 54-Ph and 54-Et were not detected; *trans-cyclohexane-1,2-diol 1*  $t<sub>R</sub> = 6.9$  min; Acylated product 2  $t<sub>R</sub> = 8.8$ min; POCl(OEt)<sub>2</sub>  $t_R$  = 6.7 min; POCl(OPh)<sub>2</sub>  $t_R$  = 15.2 min; DMAP  $t<sub>R</sub> = 9.5$  min. TLC: EtOAc = eluent (Rac-1 R<sub>f</sub> = 0.15 n.f.; 53 R<sub>f</sub> = 0.6 f.; 54-Ph  $R_f = 0.5$  f.; 54-Et  $R_f = 0.3$  n.f.; 2  $R_f = 0.6$  n.f.; POCl(OPh)<sub>2</sub>  $R_f =$ 0.7 f.;  $SO_2ClPh-p-NO_2$   $R_f = 0.65$  f.) f. = shows fluorescence; n.f. = shows no fluorescence. The spots were first detected under UV light and then by phosphomolybdic acid. The GC retention times and characterization of 1 can be found in the literature.<sup>4</sup>

# ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **6** Supporting Information

NMR and IR spectra, as well as computational data and additional references. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

# ■ AUTHOR IN[FORMATION](http://pubs.acs.org)

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: prs@uni-giessen.de. Fax: (+49)-641-9934309.

#### Author Contributions

# C. E. Mü[ller and D. Zell co](mailto:prs@uni-giessen.de)ntributed equally.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SPP1179) and Alexander-von-Humboldt foundation (fellowship to R.H.). We thank Christian B. W. Stark (University of Hamburg) for supplying substrate 36 and Yannick Landais (ISM, Université Bordeaux-1) for providing 37. Additionally we thank J. Romański for the synthesis of 32, 33, and 34.

#### ■ REFERENCES

(1) Schoffers, E.; Golebiowski, A.; Johnson, C. R. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 3769−3826.

(2) González-Sabín, J.; Morán-Ramallal, R.; Rebolledo, F. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 5321−5335.

(3) Williams, J. M. J.; Parker, R. J.; Neri, C. Enzymatic kinetic resolution. In Enzymes in Organic Synthesis; Drauz, K., Waldmann, H., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 2002; Vol. 1, pp 287−312.

(4) Hanefeld, U. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 1, 2405−2415.

(5) Ghanem, A.; Aboul-Enein, H. Y. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2004, 15, 3331−3351.

(6) Naemura, K.; Fukuda, R.; Murata, M.; Konishi, M.; Hirose, K.; Tobe, Y. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1995, 6, 2385−2394.

(7) Li, X.; Jiang, H.; Uffman, E. W.; Guo, L.; Zhang, Y.; Yang, X.; Birman, V. B. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 1722−1737.

(8) Oriyama, T.; Imai, K.; Hosoya, T.; Sano, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 397−400.

(9) Kü ndig, E. P.; Enriquez Garcia, A.; Lomberget, T.; Perez Garcia, P.; Romanens, P. Chem. Commun. 2008, 3519−3521.

(10) Geng, X. L.; Wang, J.; Li, G. X.; Chen, P.; Tian, S. F.; Qu, J. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 8558−8562.

(11) Cao, J. -L.; Qu, J. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 3663−3670.

(12) Ishihara, K.; Kosugi, Y.; Akakura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 12212−12213.

(13) Ishihara, K.; Kosugi, Y.; Umemura, S.; Sakakura, A. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 3191−3194.

(14) Kosugi, Y.; Akakura, M.; Ishihara, K. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 6191−6203.

(15) Vedejs, E.; Daugulis, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 5813− 5814.

(16) Vedejs, E.; Daugulis, O.; MacKay, J. A.; Rozners, E. Synlett 2001, 1499−1505.

(17) Mizuta, S.; Ohtsubo, Y.; Tsuzuki, T.; Fujimoto, T.; Yamamoto, I. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 8227−8229.

(18) Aida, H.; Mori, K.; Yamaguchi, Y.; Mizuta, S.; Moriyama, T.; Yamamoto, I.; Fujimoto, T. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 812−815.

(19) Matsumura, Y.; Maki, T.; Murakami, S.; Onomura, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 2052−2053.

(20) Gissibl, A.; Finn, M. G.; Reiser, O. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 2325− 2328.

(21) Mazet, C.; Roseblade, S.; Köhler, V.; Pfaltz, A. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1879−1882.

- (22) Ruble, J. C.; Fu, G. C. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 7230−7231.
- (23) Ruble, J. C.; Latham, H. A.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 1492−1493.
- (24) Spivey, A.; Arseniyadis, S. Top. Curr. Chem. 2010, 291, 233− 280.

(25) Müller, C. E.; Schreiner, P. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6012−6042.

(26) Keith, J. M.; Larrow, J. F.; Jacobsen, E. N. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2001, 343, 5−26.

- (27) Vedejs, E.; Jure, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 3974−4001.
- (28) Willis, M. C. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1999, 1765−1784.
- (29) Pellissier, H. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 1563−1601.
- (30) Pellissier, H. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 659−676.
- (31) Davie, E. A.; Mennen, S. M.; Xu, Y.; Miller, S. J. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5759.
- (32) Wennemers, H. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 12036−12041.

(33) Sewald, N.; Jakubke, H. Peptides: Chemistry and Biology, 2nd ed.; Wiley VCH: Weinheim, 2009.

(34) Oku, J.; Ito, N.; Inoue, S. Makromol. Chem. 1979, 180, 1089− 1091.

(35) Oku, J.; Inoue, S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 229−230.

- <span id="page-18-0"></span>(36) Oku, J.; Ito, N.; Inoue, S. Makromol. Chem. 1982, 183, 579−586. (37) Asada, S.; Kobayashi, Y.; Inoue, S. Makromol. Chem. 1985, 186, 1755−1762.
- (38) Kobayashi, Y.; Asada, S.; Watanabe, I.; Hayashi, H.; Motoo, Y.; Inoue, S. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1986, 59, 893−895.
- (39) Julia, S.; Guixer, J.; Masana, J.; Rocas, J.; Colonna, S.; Annuziata, ́ R.; Molinari, H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1982, 1317−1324.
- (40) Colonna, S.; Molinari, H.; Banfi, S.; Julia, S.; Masana, J.; Alvarez, ́ A. Tetrahedron 1983, 39, 1635−1641.
- (41) Banfi, S.; Colonna, S.; Molinari, H.; Julia, S.; Guixer, J. ́ Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 5207−5211.
- (42) Julia, S.; Masana, J.; Vega, J. C. ́ Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1980, 19, 929−931.
- (43) Krattiger, P.; Kovasy, R.; Revell, J. D.; Ivan, S.; Wennemers, H. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1101−1103.
- (44) Revell, J. D.; Wennemers, H. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2007, 11, 269−278.
- (45) Revell, J.; Wennemers, H. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 1046− 1052.
- (46) Copeland, G. T.; Jarvo, E. R.; Miller, S. J. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 6784−6785.
- (47) Miller, S. J.; Copeland, G. T.; Papaioannou, N.; Horstmann, T. E.; Ruel, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 1629−1630.
- (48) Jarvo, E. R.; Copeland, G. T.; Papaioannou, N.; Bonitatebus, P. J., Jr.; Miller, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 11638−11643.
- (49) Vasbinder, M. M.; Jarvo, E. R.; Miller, S. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 113, 2906−2909.
- (50) Jarvo, E. R.; Vasbinder, M. M.; Miller, S. J. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 9773−9779.
- (51) Copeland, G. T.; Miller, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 4306−4307.
- (52) Formaggio, F.; Barazza, A.; Bertocco, A.; Toniolo, C.; Broxterman, Q. B.; Kaptein, B.; Brasola, E.; Pengo, P.; Pasquato, L.; Scrimin, P. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 3849−3856.
- (53) Chen, P.; Qu, J. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 2994−3004.
- (54) Bellemin-Laponnaz, S.; Tweddell, J.; Ruble, J. C.; Breitling, F. M.; Fu, G. C. Chem. Commun. 2000, 1009−1010.
- (55) Birman, V. B.; Jiang, H.; Li, X. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3237−3240. (56) Choi, C.; Tian, S. K.; Deng, L. Synthesis 2001, 1737−1741.
- (57) Fowler, B. S.; Laemmerhold, K. M.; Miller, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
- 2012, 134, 9755−9761.
- (58) Lewis, C. A.; Miller, S. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 5616− 5619.
- (59) Griswold, K.; Miller, S. J. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 8869−8875.
- (60) Müller, C. E.; Wanka, L.; Jewell, K.; Schreiner, P. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6180−6183.
- (61) Cruz Silva, M. M.; Riva, S.; Sáe Melo, M. L. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 3065−3073.
- (62) Mazet, C.; Kö hler, V.; Pfaltz, A. Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 4966− 4969.
- (63) Wende, R. C.; Schreiner, P. R. Green Chem. 2012, 14, 1821− 1849.
- (64) Zhou, J. Chem.-Asian J. 2010, 5, 422-434.
- (65) Müller, C. E.; Zell, D.; Schreiner, P. R. Chem.—Eur. J. 2009, 15, 9647−9650.
- (66) Hrdina, R.; Müller, C. E.; Schreiner, P. R. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 2689−2690.
- (67) Müller, C. E.; Hrdina, R.; Wende, R. C.; Schreiner, P. R. Chem.Eur. J. 2011, 17, 6309−6314.
- (68) Hrdina, R.; Müller, C. E.; Wende, R. C.; Wanka, L.; Schreiner, P. R. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 2498−2500.
- (69) Klicić, J. J.; Friesner, R. A.; Liu, S.-Y.; Guida, W. C. J. Phys. Chem. A 2002, 106, 1327−1335.
- (70) Sakakura, A.; Kawajiri, K.; Ohkubo, T.; Kosugi, Y.; Ishihara, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 14775−14779.
- (71) Zhao, Y.; Rodrigo, J.; Hoveyda, A. H.; Snapper, M. L. Nature 2006, 443, 67−70.
- (72) Immobilization of a catalyst simplifies the purification of the product as well as the recovery of the catalyst. Hence, we compared

the selectivity of 12a and the uncleaved peptide 12a-resin, but 12aresin turned out to be less selective.

- (73) Yamada, S.; Fossey, J. S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 7275− 7281.
- (74) Zhang, Z.; Lippert, K. M.; Hausmann, H.; Kotke, M.; Schreiner, P. R. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 9764-9776.
- (75) Terakado, D.; Koutaka, H.; Oriyama, T. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2005, 16, 1157−1165.
- (76) Lewis, C. A.; Sculimbrene, B. R.; Xu, Y.; Miller, S. J. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3021−3023.
- (77) Kagan, H. B.; Fiaud, J. C. Top. Stereochem. 1988, 18, 249−330.
- (78) Juárez-Hernandez, M.; Johnson, D. V.; Holland, H. L.; McNulty, J.; Capretta, A. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2003, 14, 289−291.
- (79) Li, Z.; Liang, X.; Wu, F.; Wan, B. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2004, 15, 665−669.
- (80) Cai, D.; Hughes, D. L.; Verhoeven, T. R.; Reider, P. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 7991−7994.
- (81) Schanz, H. J.; Linseis, M. A.; Gilheany, D. G. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2003, 14, 2763−2769.
- (82) Hu, Q. S.; Vitharana, D.; Pu, L. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1995, 6, 2123−2126.
- (83) Cheng, H.; Stark, C. B. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 1587−1590.
- (84) Girard, E.; Desvergnes, V.; Tarnus, C.; Landais, Y. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 5628−5634.
- (85) Enders, D.; Grondal, C.; Hüttl, M. R. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1570−1581.
- (86) Wasilke, J. C.; Obrey, S. J.; Baker, R. T.; Bazan, G. C. Chem. Rev. 2005, 36, 1001−1020.
- (87) Fogg, D. E.; dos Santos, E. N. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2004, 248, 2365−2379.
- (88) Ambrosini, L. M.; Lambert, T. H. ChemCatChem 2010, 2, 1373−1380.
- (89) Venkatachalapathi, Y. V.; Prasad, B. V. V; Balaram, P. Biochemistry 1982, 21, 5502−5509.
- (90) Gellman, S. H.; Dado, G. P.; Liang, G. B.; Adams, B. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 1164−1173.
- (91) Shinisha, C. B.; Sunoj, R. B. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 3242−3245.
- (92) Halgren, T. A. In Encyclopedia of Computational Chemistry; von Schleyer, P., Allinger, N. L., Clark, T., Gasteiger, J., Kollman, P. A.,
- Schaefer, H. F., Schreiner, P. R., Eds.; Wiley: Chichester, 1998; Vol. 2. (93) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 157−167.
- (94) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. Theor. Chem. Acc. 2008, 120, 215−241.
- (95) Grimme, S.; Schreiner, P. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 12639−12642.
- (96) Schreiner, P. R.; Chernish, L. V.; Gunchenko, P. A.; Tikhonchuk, E. Y.; Hausmann, H.; Serafin, M.; Schlecht, S.; Dahl, J.
- E. P.; Carlson, R. M. K.; Fokin, A. A. Nature 2011, 477, 308−311.
- (97) Legault, C. Y. CYLview, 1.0b; Université de Sherbrooke: Sherbrooke, QC, 2009; http://www.cylview.org.
- (98) Guibe-Jampel, E.; Le Corre, G.; Wakselman, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 20, 1157−1160.
- (99) Spivey, A. C.; Ar[seniyadis,](http://www.cylview.org) [S.](http://www.cylview.org) Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 5552− 5557.
- (100) Höfle, G.; Steglich, W.; Vorbrü ggen, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1978, 17, 569−583.
- (101) Kattnig, E.; Albert, M. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 945−948.
- (102) Xu, S.; Held, I.; Kempf, B.; Mayr, H.; Steglich, W.; Zipse, H. Chem.-Eur. J. 2005, 11, 4751-4757.
- (103) Larionov, E.; Zipse, H. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci. 2011, 1, 601−619.
- (104) Lutz, V.; Glatthaar, J.; Wü rtele, C.; Serafin, M.; Hausmann, H.; Schreiner, P. R. Chem.-Eur. J. 2009, 15, 8548-8557.
- (105) Fiori, K. W.; Puchlopek, A. L. A.; Miller, S. J. Nat. Chem. 2009, 1, 630−634.
- (106) Sculimbrene, B. R.; Miller, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 10125−10126.
- (107) Sculimbrene, B. R.; Morgan, A. J.; Miller, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 11653−11656.

# <span id="page-19-0"></span>The Journal of Organic Chemistry and the Second Second

- (108) Basel, Y.; Hassner, A. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 6368−6380.
- (109) Demizu, Y.; Matsumoto, K.; Onomura, O.; Matsumura, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 7605−7609.
- (110) Wanka, L. γ-Aminoadamantane Carboxylic Acids: Orientating Building Blocks in Peptide Chemistry. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Giessen, 2007.
- (111) Wanka, L.; Cabrele, C.; Vanejews, M.; Schreiner, P. R. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 1474−1490.
- (112) Das, B.; Reddy, V. S.; Tehseen, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 6865−6868.
- (113) Xue, F.; Seto, C. T. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2006, 14, 8467−8487. (114) Yamaguchi, T.; Hesek, D.; Lee, M.; Oliver, A. G.; Mobashery,
- S. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 3515−3517. (115) Stamatov, S. D.; Stawinski, J. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 3659−
- 3669. (116) Nelson, A.; Warren, S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1999, 3425−3433.
- (117) Lethbridge, A.; Norman, R. O. C.; Thomas, C. B.; Parr, W. J. E. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1975, 231−241.
- (118) Fransson, A. -B. L.; Xu, Y.; Leijondahl, K.; Bäckvall, J. -E. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 6309−6316.
- (119) Frö hner, W.; Monse, B.; Braxmeier, T. M.; Casiraghi, L.; Sahagún, H.; Seneci, P. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4573−4576.